

微藻葡萄糖磷酸变位酶研究进展

汤熙¹, 陈必链^{1,2}, 何勇锦^{1,2*}

1 福建师范大学 生命科学学院, 福建 福州 350117

2 福建师范大学, 工业微生物教育部工程研发中心, 福建 福州 350117

汤熙, 陈必链, 何勇锦. 微藻葡萄糖磷酸变位酶研究进展[J]. 微生物学报, 2024, 64(9): 3200-3223. TANG Xi, CHEN Bilian, HE Yongjin. Research progress of phosphoglucomutases from microalgae[J]. Acta Microbiologica Sinica, 2024, 64(9): 3200-3223.

摘 要: 葡萄糖-1-磷酸是光自养生物淀粉合成的前体物质。葡萄糖磷酸变位酶 (phosphoglucomutase, PGM)属于磷酸己糖变位酶家族,具有较高的保守性,能介导葡萄糖-6-磷酸 与葡萄糖-1-磷酸相互转化,调节植物和藻类细胞淀粉合成。与高等植物相比, 微藻具有独特的光 合系统,一些微藻藻株可以利用有机碳源进行异养培养或混养培养,这可能赋予微藻葡萄糖磷酸 变位酶特殊的结构和淀粉代谢功能,调节微藻光合固碳、糖类代谢等通路的水平。本文综述了微 藻 PGM 分子特性、生物学功能和调控 PGM 活性潜在机制及策略,阐明 PGM 调节微藻淀粉合成 对胞内蛋白质、油脂等代谢通路的潜在影响机制,为微藻固碳和高值化开发微藻资源提供理论依 据,助力我国"双碳"目标奠定理论基础。

关键词: 葡萄糖磷酸变位酶; 微藻; 淀粉合成

*Corresponding author. E-mail: yongjinhe@fjnu.edu.cn

资助项目:国家自然科学基金(32172997);福建省教育厅重点项目(2022G02013);福建省环保科技计划(2023R0001);福建师范大学碳中和研究院开放项目(TZH-2022-03)

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32172997), the Key Project of Fujian Provincial Department of Education (2022G02013), the Fujian Provincial Environmental Protection Science and Technology Plan (2023R0001), and the Open-ended Project of Carbon Neutral Research Institute, Fujian Normal University (TZH-2022-03).

Received: 2024-03-05; Accepted: 2024-05-21; Published online: 2024-05-24

Research progress of phosphoglucomutases from microalgae

TANG Xi¹, CHEN Bilian^{1,2}, HE Yongjin^{1,2*}

1 College of Life Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, Fujian, China

2 Engineering Research Center of Industrial Microbiology of Ministry of Education, Fujian Normal University,

Fuzhou 350117, Fujian, China

Abstract: Glucose-1-phosphate is a key precursor for starch biosynthesis of photoautotrophs. Phosphoglucomutases (PGMs) belonging to the phosphohexomutase family have a high conserved characteristic and perform the interconversion between glucose-6-phosphate and glucose-1-phosphate to regulate the starch biosynthesis. Compared with the higher plants, microalgae possess unique photosynthetic systems. Additionally, some microalgae strains can utilize organic carbon sources to produce valuable biomass by heterotrophic or mixotrophic cultivation, which might endow PGMs with specific structural features and biological functions in starch metabolism to regulate the levels of carbon fixation by photosynthesis, carbohydrate metabolism, and other pathways in microalgae. This article summarizes the molecular characteristics, functions, and activity regulation of PGMs for microalgae. Moreover, this article elucidates the potential mechanisms by which PGMs regulate microalgae starch synthesis to influence intracellular protein and lipid metabolic pathways. This review lays a theoretical foundation for microalgae carbon sequestration and the value-added utilization of microalgae resources, contributing to the achievement of China's "dual-carbon" goals. **Keywords:** phosphoglucomutase; microalgae; starch synthesis

微藻是一类典型的光自养生物,可合成蛋白 质、多糖、多不饱和脂肪酸等多种活性物质,被 广泛应用于食品、工业、能源、环境等领域^[1-3]。 与高等植物相比,微藻具有生长速度快、生物量 产量高、不竞争耕地等优点,受到食品、医疗、 化工等行业科技工作者的普遍关注^[4]。因此,利用 微藻开发高附加值生物制品,对推动我国食品、饲 料、保健品等行业的高质量发展具有重要意义。

近年来,多项研究表明在微藻生长过程 中,淀粉等多糖的积累调控其机体蛋白质与脂 质的合成水平^[5-9]。光合自养生物淀粉合成途径 中,CO₂通过卡尔文循环,经过果糖-1,6-二磷 酸酶、磷酸葡萄糖异构酶、葡萄糖磷酸变位酶 (phosphoglucomutase, PGM, EC 5.4.2.2)等关键酶 的转化合成淀粉^[9-13]。葡萄糖磷酸变位酶属于磷酸己糖变位酶家族,序列保守性高,对光合生物固碳和目的产物合成的调节起着至关重要的作用^[10,14-16]。当前,关于高等植物 PGM 调节淀粉合成、蔗糖代谢、固定 CO₂ 和植物生长发育的研究较多^[10-11,17-18]。与高等植物不同的是,微藻作为一类低等光合生物,拥有独特的光合系统和淀粉代谢通路。此外,有些微藻藻株,如普通小球藻(*Chlorella vulgaris*)等,可以利用有机碳源(如葡萄糖)进行异养培养和混养培养,调节 PGM 活性可影响细胞内淀粉合成和蛋白质、油脂、色素等高附加值产物的代谢水平^[6,19-22]。因此,本文根据微藻 PGM 分子特性、功能、和调控 PGM 活性对藻类淀粉合成的影响,阐释藻类淀粉作为

细胞内碳源转化为高附加值产物的合成机制,总 结藻类 PGM 的研究进展,为进一步明确藻类淀粉 合成机制和调控微藻高附加值产物合成提供参考。

微藻葡萄糖磷酸变位酶的分子特性

光合生物 PGM 主要在淀粉合成组织中发挥作 用^[23]。在高等植物中,基于 PGM 酶的亚细胞定位 可分为质体 PGM (plastidial phosphoglucomutase, pPGM)和胞质 PGM (cytosolic phosphoglucomutase, cPGM)^[14]。Herbert 等^[14]通过(NH₄)₂SO₄ 梯度和 二乙氨乙基(deaecellulose, DEAE)-纤维素离子 交换色谱分离方法,分析 C₃、C₄与具景天酸代 谢途径的植物和小球藻中的 PGM 分布形式时发 现,除 C₄植物外,C₃植物细胞和小球藻细胞的 质体和胞质中均有 PGM; C₄植物 PGM 有 3 种 同工酶,成熟的 PGM 蛋白分子量约为 60 kDa。 Li 等^[18]从羽衣甘蓝(*Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala*) 柱头组织中分离到 PGM2 和 PGM3 两种具有葡 萄糖磷酸变位酶活性的蛋白质,其 cDNA 序列 大小分别为 1 922 bp 和 2 066 bp,分子预测结果

 表1 微藻 PGM 蛋白分子性质

 Table 1 Molecular properties of microalgae PGM proteins

 Species
 GenBank ID
 CDS
 Number of Molecular Isoelectric Hydrophilic (bp) amino acids weight point (GRAVY)

显示羽衣甘蓝 PGM2 蛋白由 583 个氨基酸组成, 相对分子质量为 63.4 kDa; PGM3 蛋白由 582 个 氨基酸组成,相对分子质量为 63.3 kDa。王海波 等^[24]通过同源序列比对方法克隆得到小桐子 (*Jatropha curcas* L.) cPGM 与 pPGM 基因的全长 编码序列, cPGM 基因 mRNA 长度为 2 214 bp, 编码 582 个氨基酸,其蛋白分子量为 63.2 kDa; pPGM 基因 mRNA 长度为 2 347 bp,编码 637 个 氨基酸,其蛋白分子量为 69.5 kDa。

一般认为,植物 PGM 所含氨基酸数目为 600个左右,分子量约为60kDa^[25-26]。不同的是, 藻类 PGM 编码基因序列长度为1632-3216bp, 编码的氨基酸数为543-1071,蛋白相对分子质 量为58.6-115.3 kDa (表 1)。在已报道的藻类 PGM中,蓝藻的PGM 氨基酸总数最少,分子量 最小;相反的是,褐藻的 PGM 氨基酸总数最 多,分子量最大,为115.3 kDa (表 1)。这可能的 原因在于,褐藻是真核藻类中较高级的一个类 群,均为多细胞体,蛋白结构复杂,而蓝藻为原 核藻类,结构简单,无细胞器,进化程度低。

由表1可知,不同属的微藻 PGM 的氨基酸 数目存在明显的差别。例如,绿藻 PGM 蛋白氨

S	pecies	GenBank ID	(bp)	amino acids (aa)	Molecular weight (Da)	point	(GRAVY)	localization	References
C	Chlorophyta								
	Dunaliella salina	ADD25038.1	1 815	604	65 084.14	8.01	-0.226	Chloroplast	[27]
	Klebsormidium nitens	GAQ78653.1	2 421	806	87 710.80	6.89	-0.190	Chloroplast	[28]
	Klebsormidium nitens	GAQ91740.1	2 046	681	73 633.40	6.41	-0.119	Chloroplast	[28]
	Micromonas pusilla	XP_003056074.1	1 803	600	64 792.99	4.97	-0.176	Chloroplast	[29]
	Micromonas commoda	XP_002507519.1	1 728	575	62 170.11	5.08	-0.222	Chloroplast	[29]
	Ostreococcus tauri	XP_003083406.1	1 680	559	60 461.36	4.93	-0.179	Chloroplast	[30]
	Scenedesmus sp.	KAF6254198.1	1 809	602	64 633.81	5.88	-0.097	Chloroplast	[31]
	Chlorella sorokiniana	PRW59596.1	1 875	624	67 218.24	6.49	-0.187	Chloroplast	[32]

Species GenBank ID CDS Number of Molecular Isoelectric Hydrophilicity Subcellular References (op) minio acids veight point (GRAVY) Iocalization Iocalization Monoraphidium minutum KAI8462587.1 1 875 598 6.3 782.74 7.09 -0.101 Chloroplast [33] Raphidocelis subcapiteta GBP5149.1 1 606 601 6.3 735.44 6.30 -0.044 Chloroplast [35] protothecoides NP<01399073.1 1.698 565 61 191.84 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Polytomella parva QKY14898.1 1.674 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] Cyanobacteria MDJ0518457.1 1.635 544 58 90.264 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] Calathrix sp. MDJ051491.1 1.635 544 58 5.28 <									(续表 1)
(bp) amino acids weight point (GRAVY) localization (aa) (Da) (Da) (Can) (Choroplast [3] Monoraphidium minutum KAI8462587.11 1875 598 63 782.74 7.09 -0.101 Chloroplast [3] Raphidocellis subcapitata GBP95149.1 1680 601 63 735.44 6.30 -0.044 Chloroplast [35] Protothecoides Namochlorella XP_011399073.1 1698 565 61191.86 5.13 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Namochloropis gaditana EWN21792.1 3210 1069 115173.24 6.02 -0.216 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria MDJ0573558.1 1632 543 59 293.04 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ0518457.1 1635 544 58 9194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [39] Shacklemiella antarcica PZV4876.1 1635 544 58 902.64 4.87	Species	GenBank ID	CDS	Number of	Molecular	Isoelectric	Hydrophilicity	Subcellular	References
(aa) (Da) Monoraphidium minutum KAI8462587.1 1875 598 63 782.74 7.09 -0.101 Chloroplast [33] Raphidocelis subcapitata GBF95149.1 1806 601 63 735.44 6.30 -0.044 Chloroplast [35] protothecoides Namochlompsis gaditana EWM21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Polytomella parva QKY14898.1 1 674 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.205 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria Xenococaccaceae MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ061901.1 1 635 544 58 92.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [39] Shacketoniella amarcica PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 760.67 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shacketoniella amarcica PZU4368.1 1 635 544 58 706.67 4.87 -0.241 Cytoplasm [39] Cocillatoriales sp. HIK46815.1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>(bp)</td> <td>amino acids</td> <td>weight</td> <td>point</td> <td>(GRAVY)</td> <td>localization</td> <td></td>			(bp)	amino acids	weight	point	(GRAVY)	localization	
Monoraphidium minutum KAI8462587.1 1 875 598 63 782.74 7.09 -0.101 Chloroplast [33] Raphidocelis subcapitata GBP5149.1 1 806 601 63 735.44 6.30 -0.044 Chloroplast [35] Protothecoides Namochloropsis gaditana EWM21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Cyanobacteria EWD21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.205 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria E E Cocccaceae MDJ0573558.1 1 632 543 59 293.04 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] Caloririx sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 58 976.07 4.87 -0.200 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella amareica PZU94867.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.231 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella amareica PZU97200.1 1 635 544 58 691.42				(aa)	(Da)				
Raphidocelis subcapitata GBF95149.1 1 806 601 63 735.44 6.30 -0.044 Chloroplast [34] Auxenochlorella XP_011399073.1 1 698 565 61 191.86 5.13 -0.190 Chloroplast [35] protothecoides Nannochloropsis gaditana EWM21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria W2V14898.1 1 674 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.205 Cytoplasm [38] cyanobacterium Trichodesmium sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Leptolyngbya sp. PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 760.67 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella amarcica PZ0497200.1 1 632 543 58 601.42 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Oacillatoriales sp. HIK46815.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm </td <td>Monoraphidium minutum</td> <td>KAI8462587.1</td> <td>1 875</td> <td>598</td> <td>63 782.74</td> <td>7.09</td> <td>-0.101</td> <td>Chloroplast</td> <td>[33]</td>	Monoraphidium minutum	KAI8462587.1	1 875	598	63 782.74	7.09	-0.101	Chloroplast	[33]
Auxenochlorella XP_011399073.1 1 698 565 61 191.86 5.13 -0.190 Chloroplast [35] Namochloropis gaditana EWM21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Polytomella parva QKY14898.1 1 674 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.205 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria 543 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] cyanobacterium 1 635 544 58 92.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [39] Calabrix sp. MDJ0621091.1 1 635 544 58 60.67 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZU97200.1 1 632 543 58 61.42 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [40] Leptolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1	Raphidocelis subcapitata	GBF95149.1	1 806	601	63 735.44	6.30	-0.044	Chloroplast	[34]
protochlecoides EVM21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Polytomella parva QKY14898.1 1 67 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.205 Chloroplast [37] Zyanobacteria V V 0 0.205 Cytoplasm [38] cyanobacterium V V 0 0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Leptolyngbya sp. PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.201 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctia PZU97200.1 1 632 543 58 601.42 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [39] Oscillatoriales sp. HIK31139.1 1 632 543 58 061.42 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [40] Nodosilinea sp. MBW461093.1 1 635 544 58 989.48 5.26 -0.179 <td>Auxenochlorella</td> <td>XP_011399073.1</td> <td>1 698</td> <td>565</td> <td>61 191.86</td> <td>5.13</td> <td>-0.190</td> <td>Chloroplast</td> <td>[35]</td>	Auxenochlorella	XP_011399073.1	1 698	565	61 191.86	5.13	-0.190	Chloroplast	[35]
Namachloropsis gaditana EVM21792.1 3 210 1 069 115 173.24 6.02 -0.126 Chloroplast [36] Polytomella parva QKY14898.1 1 674 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.205 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria Xenococcaceae MDJ0573558.1 1 632 543 59 293.04 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] cyanobacteriam Trichodesmium sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 58 992.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [38] Leptolyngbya sp. PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Schaltetoniella antarctica PZU97200.1 1 632 543 58 685.69 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [39] Oscillatoriales sp. HIK4815.1 1 632 544 58 689.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm [40] Nodosilinea sp. MBW461093.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm	protothecoides								
Polytomella parva QKY14898.1 1 674 557 60 447.55 6.08 -0.205 Chloroplast [37] Cyanobacteria Xenococcaceae MDJ0573558.1 1 632 543 59 293.04 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] cyanobacterium Trichodesmium sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ0621091.1 1 635 544 58 992.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 601.42 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Leptolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1 1 635 544 58 692.48 .26 -0.179 Cytoplasm [40] Leptolyngbyaceae sp. HIK4615.1 1 635 544 59 493.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 439.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm <td< td=""><td>Nannochloropsis gaditana</td><td>EWM21792.1</td><td>3 210</td><td>1 069</td><td>115 173.24</td><td>6.02</td><td>-0.126</td><td>Chloroplast</td><td>[36]</td></td<>	Nannochloropsis gaditana	EWM21792.1	3 210	1 069	115 173.24	6.02	-0.126	Chloroplast	[36]
Cyanobacteria Kanococcaceae MDJ0573558.1 1 632 543 59 293.04 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] Zenococcaceae MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ0621091.1 1 635 544 58 992.04 5.20 -0.200 Cytoplasm [38] Leptolyngbya sp. PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 69.67 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 65.09 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Cytolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1 1 632 543 59 034.22 4.87 -0.261 Cytoplasm [40] Odosillatoriales sp. IHK46815.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium exp	Polytomella parva	QKY14898.1	1 674	557	60 447.55	6.08	-0.205	Chloroplast	[37]
Xenococcaceae MDJ0573558.1 1 632 543 59 293.04 5.20 -0.216 Cytoplasm [38] cyanobacterium Trichodesmium sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 635 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ0621091.1 1 635 544 58 992.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZCV16368.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZU97200.1 1 632 543 58 60.42 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [40] Nodosilinea sp. HIK46815.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 349.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm [42]	Cyanobacteria								
cyanobacterium Trichodesmium sp. MDJ0518457.1 1 6.35 544 59 194.48 4.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm [38] Calothrix sp. MDJ0621091.1 1 6.35 544 58 992.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZV16368.1 1 6.35 544 58 68.65.0 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZV4876.1 1 6.35 544 58 68.65.0 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [39] Leptolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1 1 6.32 543 59 034.22 4.87 -0.261 Cytoplasm [40] Leptolyngbyaceae sp. HIK4815.1 1 6.35 544 58 59.39.84 5.26 -0.179 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 6.35 544 59 39.83.86 5.33 -0.272 Cytoplasm [43] Hydrococcus sp. NJP19473.1 1 6.32	Xenococcaceae	MDJ0573558.1	1 632	543	59 293.04	5.20	-0.216	Cytoplasm	[38]
Trichodesmium sp.MDJ0518457.11163554459194.484.90 -0.305 Cytoplasm[38]Calothrix sp.MDJ0621091.1163554458992.645.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm[39]Shackletoniella antarcticaPZV16368.116355445868.674.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm[39]Shackletoniella antarcticaPZ044876.116355445868.65.694.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm[39]Leptolyngbya sp.PZU97200.1163254359034.224.87 -0.237 Cytoplasm[40]Leptolyngbyaceae sp.HIK31139.116325445868.93.844.86 -0.245 Cytoplasm[41]Trichodesmium erythraeumMDT9339139.1163554458639.3844.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm[42]Trichodesmium sp.MDE5092974.11635544595949.015.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmis sp.NJM98782.11635544595933.865.33 -0.272 Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459268.605.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm[45]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459268.605.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm[46]Pseudanabaena sp.MCA6612058	cyanobacterium								
Calothrix sp. MDJ0621091.1 1 635 544 58 992.64 5.28 -0.200 Cytoplasm [38] Leptolyngbya sp. PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 760.67 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZO44876.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Leptolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1 1 632 543 58 601.42 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [40] Oscillatoriales sp. HIK31139.1 1 632 543 59 034.22 4.87 -0.237 Cytoplasm [40] Nodosilinea sp. MBW4461093.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 403.05 5.20 -0.284 Cytoplasm [42] Trichodesmium sp. NJP19473.1 1 632 543 59 433.49 5.70 -0.235 Cytoplasm [44] Phormidesmis sp. NJM98782.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.294	Trichodesmium sp.	MDJ0518457.1	1 635	544	59 194.48	4.90	-0.305	Cytoplasm	[38]
Leptolyngbya sp. PZV16368.1 1 635 544 58 760.67 4.87 -0.249 Cytoplasm [39] Shackletoniella antarctica PZO44876.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Leptolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1 1 632 543 59 034.22 4.87 -0.237 Cytoplasm [40] Leptolyngbyaceae sp. HIK46815.1 1 635 544 58 989.48 5.26 -0.179 Cytoplasm [41] Nodosilinea sp. MBW4461093.1 1 635 544 59 349.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm [42] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 403.05 5.20 -0.297 Cytoplasm [43] Hydrococcus sp. NJJP19473.1 1 632 543 58 781.89 4.71 -0.235 Cytoplasm [44] Princhodesmium sp.	Calothrix sp.	MDJ0621091.1	1 635	544	58 992.64	5.28	-0.200	Cytoplasm	[38]
Shackletoniella antarctica PZO44876.1 1 635 544 58 685.69 4.98 -0.233 Cytoplasm [39] Leptolyngbya sp. PZU97200.1 1 632 543 58 601.42 4.98 -0.261 Cytoplasm [39] Oscillatoriales sp. HIK31139.1 1 632 543 59 034.22 4.87 -0.237 Cytoplasm [40] Leptolyngbyaceae sp. HIK46815.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 349.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm [42] Trichodesmium sp. MDE5092974.1 1 635 544 59 403.05 5.20 -0.297 Cytoplasm [43] Hydrococcus sp. NJP19473.1 1 632 543 59 383.86 5.33 -0.272 Cytoplasm [44] Phormidesmis sp. MCH2048694.1 1 635 544 59 420.10 5.26 -0.301 Cytoplasm [45] Trichodesmium sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221	Leptolyngbya sp.	PZV16368.1	1 635	544	58 760.67	4.87	-0.249	Cytoplasm	[39]
Leptolyngbya sp.PZU97200.1163254358601.424.98-0.261Cytoplasm[39]Oscillatoriales sp.HIK31139.1163254359034.224.87-0.237Cytoplasm[40]Leptolyngbyaceae sp.HIK46815.1163554458989.485.26-0.179Cytoplasm[41]Nodosilinea sp.MBW4461093.1163554458639.384.88-0.245Cytoplasm[42]Trichodesmium erythraeumMDT9339139.1163554459349.015.19-0.284Cytoplasm[43]Hydrococcus sp.MDE5092974.1163254359383.865.33-0.272Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmis sp.NJP19473.116325445959260-0.301Cytoplasm[44]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.116355445934.995.20-0.294Cytoplasm[45]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.116355445934.995.20-0.294Cytoplasm[45]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459268.605.16-0.221Cytoplasm[47]Trichodesmium sp.MCA6612058.1163554459268.605.16-0.221Cytoplasm[47]Trichodesmium sp.MCA6612058.1163254358641.774.78 <td>Shackletoniella antarctica</td> <td>PZO44876.1</td> <td>1 635</td> <td>544</td> <td>58 685.69</td> <td>4.98</td> <td>-0.233</td> <td>Cytoplasm</td> <td>[39]</td>	Shackletoniella antarctica	PZO44876.1	1 635	544	58 685.69	4.98	-0.233	Cytoplasm	[39]
Oscillatoriales sp. HIK31139.1 1 632 543 59 034.22 4.87 -0.237 Cytoplasm [40] Leptolyngbyaceae sp. HIK46815.1 1 635 544 58 989.48 5.26 -0.179 Cytoplasm [41] Nodosilinea sp. MBW4461093.1 1 635 544 58 639.38 4.88 -0.245 Cytoplasm [41] Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 349.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm [42] Trichodesmium sp. MDE5092974.1 1 632 543 59 343.05 5.20 -0.297 Cytoplasm [43] Hydrococcus sp. NJP19473.1 1 632 543 58 781.89 4.71 -0.235 Cytoplasm [44] Phormidesmis sp. MCH2048694.1 1 635 544 59 420.10 5.26 -0.301 Cytoplasm [45] Trichodesmium sp. MCH2048694.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm [47] Trichodesmium sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221	Leptolyngbya sp.	PZU97200.1	1 632	543	58 601.42	4.98	-0.261	Cytoplasm	[39]
Leptolyngbyaceae sp.HIK 46815.1163554458989.485.26-0.179Cytoplasm[40]Nodosilinea sp.MBW4461093.1163554458639.384.88-0.245Cytoplasm[41]Trichodesmium erythraeumMDT9339139.1163554459349.015.19-0.284Cytoplasm[42]Trichodesmium sp.MDE5092974.1163554459403.055.20-0.297Cytoplasm[43]Hydrococcus sp.NJP19473.1163254359383.865.33-0.272Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmis sp.NJM98782.1163254459420.105.26-0.301Cytoplasm[45]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459349.995.20-0.294Cytoplasm[46]erythraeum163554459268.605.16-0.221Cytoplasm[47]Tolypothrix tenuisBAY99341.1164154659603.034.94-0.238Cytoplasm[48]Phormidesmis priestleyiKPQ33795.116325435861.774.78-0.250Cytoplasm[49]Ana554459222.645.13-0.115Chloroplast[50]Gracilaria domingensisKAI0560001.1175558462212.434.83-0.211	Oscillatoriales sp.	HIK31139.1	1 632	543	59 034.22	4.87	-0.237	Cytoplasm	[40]
Nodosilinea sp.MBW4461093.1163554458639.384.88-0.245Cytoplasm[41]Trichodesmium erythraeumMDT9339139.1163554459349.015.19-0.284Cytoplasm[42]Trichodesmium sp.MDE5092974.1163554459403.055.20-0.297Cytoplasm[43]Hydrococcus sp.NJP19473.1163254359383.865.33-0.272Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmis sp.NJM98782.1163254459420.105.26-0.301Cytoplasm[45]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459334.995.20-0.294Cytoplasm[46]erythraeumMBS9770748.1163554459268.605.16-0.221Cytoplasm[47]Pseudanabaena sp.MCA6612058.116355445929603.034.94-0.238Cytoplasm[48]Phormidesmis priestleyiKPQ33795.1163254358641.774.78-0.250Cytoplasm[49]AnaKA0abphytaKA050001.1175558462222.645.13-0.115Chloroplast[50]Gracilaria domingensisKA10560001.11755584622703.725.18-0.147Chloroplast[51]	Leptolyngbyaceae sp.	HIK46815.1	1 635	544	58 989.48	5.26	-0.179	Cytoplasm	[40]
Trichodesmium erythraeum MDT9339139.1 1 635 544 59 349.01 5.19 -0.284 Cytoplasm [42] Trichodesmium sp. MDE5092974.1 1 635 544 59 403.05 5.20 -0.297 Cytoplasm [43] Hydrococcus sp. NJP19473.1 1 632 543 59 383.86 5.33 -0.272 Cytoplasm [44] Phormidesmis sp. NJM98782.1 1 632 543 58 781.89 4.71 -0.235 Cytoplasm [44] Trichodesmium sp. MCH2048694.1 1 635 544 59 334.99 5.20 -0.294 Cytoplasm [45] Trichodesmium sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm [47] Tolyoothrix tenuis BAY99341.1 1 641 546 59 603.03 4.94 -0.238 Cytoplasm [48] Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0	Nodosilinea sp.	MBW4461093.1	1 635	544	58 639.38	4.88	-0.245	Cytoplasm	[41]
Trichodesmium sp.MDE5092974.1163554459403.055.20-0.297Cytoplasm[43]Hydrococcus sp.NJP19473.1163254359383.865.33-0.272Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmis sp.NJM98782.1163254358781.894.71-0.235Cytoplasm[44]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459420.105.26-0.301Cytoplasm[45]TrichodesmiumMBS9770748.1163554459334.995.20-0.294Cytoplasm[46]erythraeumPseudanabaena sp.MCA6612058.1163554459268.605.16-0.221Cytoplasm[47]Tolypothrix tenuisBAY99341.1164154659603.034.94-0.238Cytoplasm[49]AnaRhodophytaForphyridium purpureumKAA8499052.1163254358641.774.78-0.210Cytoplasm[50]Gracilaria domingensisKAI0560001.1175558462212.434.83-0.211Chloroplast[51]Chondrus crispusXP_005717288.1175558462703.725.18-0.147Chloroplast[52]	Trichodesmium erythraeum	MDT9339139.1	1 635	544	59 349.01	5.19	-0.284	Cytoplasm	[42]
Hydrococcus sp.NJP19473.1163254359383.865.33-0.272Cytoplasm[44]Phormidesmis sp.NJM98782.1163254358781.894.71-0.235Cytoplasm[44]Trichodesmium sp.MCH2048694.1163554459420.105.26-0.301Cytoplasm[45]Trichodesmium sp.MBS9770748.1163554459334.995.20-0.294Cytoplasm[46]erythraeumPseudanabaena sp.MCA6612058.1163554459268.605.16-0.221Cytoplasm[47]Tolypothrix tenuisBAY99341.1164154659603.034.94-0.238Cytoplasm[48]Phormidesmis priestleyiKPQ33795.1163254358641.774.78-0.250Cytoplasm[49]AnaPorphyridium purpureumKAA8499052.1174358062222.645.13-0.115Chloroplast[50]Gracilaria domingensisKAI0560001.1175558462912.434.83-0.211Chloroplast[51]Chondrus crispusXP_005717288.1175558462703.725.18-0.147Chloroplast[52]	Trichodesmium sp.	MDE5092974.1	1 635	544	59 403.05	5.20	-0.297	Cytoplasm	[43]
Phormidesmis sp. NJM98782.1 1 632 543 58 781.89 4.71 -0.235 Cytoplasm [44] Trichodesmium sp. MCH2048694.1 1 635 544 59 420.10 5.26 -0.301 Cytoplasm [45] Trichodesmium sp. MBS9770748.1 1 635 544 59 334.99 5.20 -0.294 Cytoplasm [46] erythraeum Pseudanabaena sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm [47] Tolypothrix tenuis BAY99341.1 1 641 546 59 603.03 4.94 -0.238 Cytoplasm [48] Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0.250 Cytoplasm [49] Ana Rhodophyta Standard Standard <ths< td=""><td>Hydrococcus sp.</td><td>NJP19473.1</td><td>1 632</td><td>543</td><td>59 383.86</td><td>5.33</td><td>-0.272</td><td>Cytoplasm</td><td>[44]</td></ths<>	Hydrococcus sp.	NJP19473.1	1 632	543	59 383.86	5.33	-0.272	Cytoplasm	[44]
Trichodesmium sp. MCH2048694.1 1 635 544 59 420.10 5.26 -0.301 Cytoplasm [45] Trichodesmium MBS9770748.1 1 635 544 59 334.99 5.20 -0.294 Cytoplasm [46] erythraeum	Phormidesmis sp.	NJM98782.1	1 632	543	58 781.89	4.71	-0.235	Cytoplasm	[44]
Trichodesmium MBS9770748.1 1 635 544 59 334.99 5.20 -0.294 Cytoplasm [46] erythraeum Pseudanabaena sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm [47] Tolypothrix tenuis BAY99341.1 1 641 546 59 603.03 4.94 -0.238 Cytoplasm [48] Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0.250 Cytoplasm [49] Ana V	Trichodesmium sp.	MCH2048694.1	1 635	544	59 420.10	5.26	-0.301	Cytoplasm	[45]
erythraeum Pseudanabaena sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm [47] Tolypothrix tenuis BAY99341.1 1 641 546 59 603.03 4.94 -0.238 Cytoplasm [48] Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0.250 Cytoplasm [49] Ana	Trichodesmium	MBS9770748.1	1 635	544	59 334.99	5.20	-0.294	Cytoplasm	[46]
Pseudanabaena sp. MCA6612058.1 1 635 544 59 268.60 5.16 -0.221 Cytoplasm [47] Tolypothrix tenuis BAY99341.1 1 641 546 59 603.03 4.94 -0.238 Cytoplasm [48] Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0.250 Cytoplasm [49] Ana Porphyridium purpureum KAA8499052.1 1 743 580 62 222.64 5.13 -0.115 Chloroplast [50] Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	erythraeum								
Tolypothrix tenuis BAY99341.1 1 641 546 59 603.03 4.94 -0.238 Cytoplasm [48] Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0.250 Cytoplasm [49] Ana Porphyridium purpureum KAA8499052.1 1 743 580 62 222.64 5.13 -0.115 Chloroplast [50] Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Pseudanabaena sp.	MCA6612058.1	1 635	544	59 268.60	5.16	-0.221	Cytoplasm	[47]
Phormidesmis priestleyi KPQ33795.1 1 632 543 58 641.77 4.78 -0.250 Cytoplasm [49] Ana Rhodophyta Porphyridium purpureum KAA8499052.1 1 743 580 62 222.64 5.13 -0.115 Chloroplast [50] Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Tolypothrix tenuis	BAY99341.1	1 641	546	59 603.03	4.94	-0.238	Cytoplasm	[48]
Ana Rhodophyta Porphyridium purpureum KAA8499052.1 1 743 580 62 222.64 5.13 -0.115 Chloroplast [50] Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Phormidesmis priestleyi	KPQ33795.1	1 632	543	58 641.77	4.78	-0.250	Cytoplasm	[49]
Rhodophyta Porphyridium purpureum KAA8499052.1 1 743 580 62 222.64 5.13 -0.115 Chloroplast [50] Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Ana								
Porphyridium purpureum KAA8499052.1 1 743 580 62 222.64 5.13 -0.115 Chloroplast [50] Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Rhodophyta								
Gracilaria domingensis KAI0560001.1 1 755 584 62 912.43 4.83 -0.211 Chloroplast [51] Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Porphyridium purpureum	KAA8499052.1	1 743	580	62 222.64	5.13	-0.115	Chloroplast	[50]
Chondrus crispus XP_005717288.1 1 755 584 62 703.72 5.18 -0.147 Chloroplast [52]	Gracilaria domingensis	KAI0560001.1	1 755	584	62 912.43	4.83	-0.211	Chloroplast	[51]
	Chondrus crispus	XP_005717288.1	1 755	584	62 703.72	5.18	-0.147	Chloroplast	[52]
Diatoms	Diatoms								
<i>Fistulifera solaris</i> GAX13287.1 3 180 1 059 114 682.51 4.97 -0.186 Chloroplast [53]	Fistulifera solaris	GAX13287.1	3 180	1 059	114 682.51	4.97	-0.186	Chloroplast	[53]
<i>Fistulifera solaris</i> GAX25814.1 3 180 1 059 114 659.54 4.97 -0.181 Chloroplast [53]	Fistulifera solaris	GAX25814.1	3 180	1 059	114 659.54	4.97	-0.181	Chloroplast	[53]
Phaeodactylum tricornutum XP_002185375.1 3 174 1 057 114 608.54 5.11 -0.185 Chloroplast [54]	Phaeodactylum tricornutum	XP_002185375.1	3 174	1 057	114 608.54	5.11	-0.185	Chloroplast	[54]
Chaetoceros tenuissimus GFH52288.1 3 177 1 058 114 032.03 4.97 -0.118 Chloroplast [55]	Chaetoceros tenuissimus	GFH52288.1	3 177	1 058	114 032.03	4.97	-0.118	Chloroplast	[55]
Phaeophyta	Phaeophyta								
Saccharina japonica AIQ80989.1 3 216 1 071 115 362.10 5.19 -0.186 Chloroplast [56]	Saccharina japonica	AIQ80989.1	3 2 1 6	1 071	115 362.10	5.19	-0.186	Chloroplast	[56]

Source of sequence information: NCBI. Protein molecular properties: Molecular weight, Isoelectric point, and hydrophilicity (GRAVY) date source from Expasy-ProtParam tool. GRAVY: Grand average of hydropathicity, a higher positive value indicates stronger hydrophobicity, while a higher negative GRAVY value indicates better hydrophilicity. Subcellular localization was analyzed by Plant-mPLoc.

基酸数目在 557-1 069 之间, 蓝藻 PGM 蛋白氨 基酸数目在 543-546 之间, 红藻 PGM 蛋白氨基 酸数目为 580-584 之间, 褐藻与硅藻的 PGM 蛋 白氨基酸数目均大于 1 000 (表 1)。

关于微藻 PGM 的等电点,杜氏盐藻 (Dunaliella salina) PGM 为碱性蛋白(pI=8.01)^[27], 其余藻类PGM均为酸性蛋白(表1),这与高等植物 PGM 特性相似^[57-58]。亚细胞定位显示,真核藻类 PGM 蛋白均定位于叶绿体中(表 1),蓝藻 PGM 分 布在胞质中^[59-60]。这些结果可表明,真核藻类合 成淀粉与高等植物相同,主要在叶绿体中进行。

使用 TMHMM-2.0 和 SignalP-5.0 在线分析 分别预测微藻 PGM 跨膜结构和信号肽结构,结 果表明微藻 PGM 普遍为亲水蛋白且无跨膜结构 (表2)。这与曹丽等^[58]研究的拟南芥(Arabidopsis thaliana)和水稻(Oryza sativa L.)的 pPGM 与 cPGM 结果相似。尽管微藻 PGM 在等电点、亲 水性、跨膜结构特性上相差不大,但物种间 PGM 氨基酸数和分子量有较大差异,这表明微 藻 PGM 在物种内保守性较高,但在种间仍有差 异。PGM 二级结构比例上,微藻 PGM 的二级 结构中 α-螺旋与无规卷曲占比最高,分别为 32.96%-38.86%和 37.32%-44.07%,而 β-折叠与 延长链结构相对较少(表 2)。

为了阐明微藻 PGM 序列的进化关系,以源 于拟南芥的 3 个 PGM 蛋白序列[pPGM (NP_19995.1)和 cPGM (NP_177230.1 和 NP_173732.1)]为 BLASTp 模板,选择绿藻门、 蓝藻门、红藻门等 6 个门类的微藻 PGM 蛋白, 构建了微藻 PGM 系统发育树及其保守结构域编 码区模型(图 1)。多序列比对 39 个微藻 PGM 蛋 白序列,结果显示微藻 PGM 系统发育树可为 3 个分支:(1) 在第一分支中,蓝藻门单独聚为一 支,可能是由于蓝藻属于原核生物,无成熟的细 胞器且进化程度低,在PGM 进化上差异较小, 这表明微藻 PGM 在进化保守的同时, 真核藻类 与原核藻类的 PGM 进化是存在差异的(图 1);(2) 在第二分支中,红藻门与绿藻门聚为一支,PGM 进化存在亲缘关系(分支节点自展值 100), 推测 2 个物种 PGM 进化上存在一个共同祖先, 其基 因组在物种分化过程中发生了基因丢失或突变 导致了 PGM 的区别; (3) 在第三分支中, 褐藻 门、硅藻门、绿藻门中的山蜡梅克里藻 (Klebsormidium nitens, GAQ78653.1)以及微拟球 藻(Nannochloropsis gaditana, EWM21792.1)共聚 为一支,这些微藻 PGM 蛋白都有共同特征,即 在 N 端上游具备独特的保守基序, 例如: 山蜡 梅克里藻(Klebsormidium nitens, GAO78653.1)的 DNA 断裂-重新连接酶保守基序属于 DNA BRE C 超家族,主要功能为通过酪氨酸的 亲核攻击切割 DNA 双链, 以产生 3'-磷酸酪氨酸 蛋白-DNA 复合物; 褐藻门、硅藻门、微拟球藻 (Nannochloropsis gaditana, EWM21792.1) PGM 蛋白基序的N端上游存在UTP-葡萄糖-1-磷酸尿 苷酸转移酶功能区间,主要负责催化 MgUTP+ 葡萄糖-1-磷酸和 UDP-葡萄糖+MgPPi 的相互转 化(图 1 和表 3)。这些酶虽然也具备 PGM 的保守 结构域,但其N端上游还具备其他功能的结构。 这意味着: (1) 这些酶有可能属于复合蛋白^[61]; (2) 在进化的过程中,这些藻类 PGM 编码序列 在基因组上可能发生了位移,导致与其他蛋白的 编码基因发生了连锁表达^[62]。系统发育树和保 守结构域预测分析显示, 微藻 PGM 蛋白保守结 构域氨基酸数目为 539-598, 均属于磷酸己糖变 位酶超家族成员(cl38939)(表 3),催化糖底物上

可逆的分子内磷酰基转移。

表 2 微藻 PGM 蛋白二级结构和信号肽预测

 Table 2
 Secondary structure and signal peptide prediction of microalgae PGM proteins

GenBank ID	α-helix		Extended strand		β-turn		Random coil		Signal	Secretory
	Amino	Proportion	Amino	Proportion	Amino	Proportion	Amino acids	Proportion	peptide	protein
	acids	(%)	acids	(%)	acids	(%)	number (aa)	(%)		
	number	1	number		number	r				
	(aa)		(aa)		(aa)					
Chlorophyta										
ADD25038.1	211	34.93	97	16.06	38	6.29	258	42.72	0.001 0	No
GAQ78653.1	289	35.86	132	16.38	62	7.69	323	40.07	0.001 4	No
GAQ91740.1	239	35.10	118	17.33	36	5.29	288	42.29	0.000 6	No
XP_003056074.1	212	35.33	97	16.17	42	7.00	249	41.50	0.001 3	No
XP_002507519.1	209	36.35	97	16.87	44	7.65	225	39.13	0.023 5	No
XP_003083406.1	203	36.31	96	17.17	41	7.33	219	39.18	0.000 8	No
KAF6254198.1	208	34.55	103	17.11	41	6.81	250	41.53	0.001 9	No
PRW59596.1	220	35.26	91	14.58	38	6.09	275	44.07	0.002 6	No
KAI8462587.1	221	36.96	100	16.72	43	7.19	234	39.13	0.001 9	No
GBF95149.1	227	37.77	99	16.47	41	6.82	234	38.94	0.002 5	No
XP_011399073.1	207	36.64	100	17.70	39	6.90	219	38.76	0.000 7	No
EWM21792.1	366	34.24	194	18.15	97	9.07	412	38.54	0.000 9	No
QKY14898.1	201	36.09	93	16.70	42	7.54	221	39.68	0.001 8	No
Cyanobacteria										
MDJ0573558.1	202	37.20	97	17.86	36	6.63	208	38.31	0.016 2	No
MDJ0518457.1	206	37.87	97	17.83	38	6.99	203	37.32	0.009 3	No
MDJ0621091.1	197	36.21	94	17.28	41	7.54	212	38.97	0.010 4	No
PZV16368.1	200	36.76	87	15.99	37	6.80	220	40.44	0.012 2	No
PZO44876.1	203	37.32	86	15.81	39	7.17	216	39.71	0.012 2	No
PZU97200.1	211	38.86	85	15.65	36	6.63	211	38.86	0.013 1	No
HIK31139.1	196	36.10	101	18.60	35	6.45	211	38.86	0.010 5	No
HIK46815.1	204	37.50	94	17.28	37	6.80	209	38.42	0.012 2	No
MBW4461093.1	197	36.21	99	18.20	35	6.43	213	39.15	0.012 5	No
MDT9339139.1	196	36.03	97	17.83	33	6.07	218	40.07	0.005 0	No
MDE5092974.1	194	35.66	98	18.01	36	6.62	216	39.71	0.005 0	No
NJP19473.1	198	36.46	97	17.86	36	6.63	212	39.04	0.011 4	No
NJM98782.1	197	36.28	102	18.78	37	6.81	207	38.12	0.011 3	No
MCH2048694.1	202	37.13	97	17.83	36	6.62	209	38.42	0.005 0	No
MBS9770748.1	200	36.76	100	18.38	38	6.99	206	37.87	0.005 0	No
MCA6612058.1	195	35.85	99	18.20	41	7.54	209	38.42	0.024 8	No
BAY99341.1	197	36.08	100	18.32	39	7.14	210	38.46	0.003 6	No
KPO33795.1	199	36.65	98	18.05	37	6.81	2.09	38.49	0.013 6	No
Rhodonhyta	.,,	20102	20	10100	5,	0.01	_0)	20112	0.010 0	110
KAA8499052.1	204	35.17	96	16.55	42	7.24	238	41.03	0.003 5	No
KAI0560001 1	204	34.93	99	16.95	37	6 34	244	41 78	0.003.4	No
XP 0057172881	201	34 42	97	16.61	43	7.36	243	41.61	0.001 3	No
Diatoms	201	51.12	21	10.01	15	1.50	215	11.01	0.001 5	110
GAX13287 1	374	35 32	175	16.53	85	8.03	425	40.13	0.001.0	No
GAX25814.1	378	35.60	175	16.53	95	8.05	423	38.81	0.001 0	No
XP 002185375 1	356	33.68	180	17.03	93	8 80	428	40.49	0.007 1	No
GFH52288 1	371	35.07	184	17.39	81	7.66	422	39.89	0.001 7	No
Phaeonhyta	571	55.01	107	11.57	51	,.00	122	57.07	0.001 /	110
AIO80989 1	353	32.96	190	17.74	102	9.52	426	39.78	0.000 5	No
	555	22.70		- / • / •	102		.20	27.70	5.000 5	

http://journals.im.ac.cn/actamicrocn



图 1 微藻 PGM 蛋白系统发育树和蛋白质保守结构域编码序列分析

Figure 1 Microalgae PGM protein system phylogenetic tree and conserved domain coding sequence analysis. Phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbour-joining algorithm in MEGA 11 with 1 000 bootstrap replicates, and the number on the branch represents bootstrap calculated after 1 000 repetitions. The accession number of the corresponding gene was shown in parentheses. The conservative structural domain model was constructed based on the predictions from the NCBI Conserved Domain Search (Table 3); Search against database: CDD v3.21-62456 PSSMs.

2 微藻葡萄糖磷酸变位酶的功能

光合生物 PGM 参与的代谢途径如图 2 所 示,PGM 是光合生物合成碳水化合物途径中重 要的调节酶,催化葡萄糖-6-磷酸与葡萄糖-1-磷 酸之间的可逆转化,被认为能够调控磷酸己糖之 间的相互平衡,形成的葡萄糖-6-磷酸产物分别被 戊糖磷酸途径和糖酵解途径进一步代谢^[10]。

在植物中, cPGM 对蔗糖代谢、细胞壁合成、 植株生长发育等方面起着至关重要的作用^[12,63]。当 叶绿体无光合作用驱动的碳输出时, 拟南芥叶片 蔗糖的形成取决于 cPGM 的活性^[63-64]。cPGM 催 化生成的葡萄糖-1-磷酸是 UDP-葡萄糖焦磷酸 化酶的底物 UDP-葡萄糖是细胞壁合成的主要原 料^[65]。Uematsu 等^[11]通过植物过表达载体 pIG121 将拟南芥 cPGM 基因利用农杆菌转入烟草(*Nicotiana tabacum* cv. Xanthi) 植株, cPGM 过表达品系 cPGM 活性比野生型植物高 2.1-3.4 倍,叶片淀粉 含量下降至野生型的 60%-70%,但蔗糖含量未 见明显差异。作者认为 cPGM 活性升高可能会刺 激光合碳流向蔗糖,而蔗糖浓度的增加可能会提 高蔗糖转运蛋白活性,进而使合成的蔗糖从叶片 迅速转运到分生组织^[11]。研究结果表明,马铃薯 (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Desiree)降低 cPGM 的活性 会明显影响光合作用的效率,转化植株的气体交 换率与野生型对比降低了约 30%, CO₂ 同化率明 显降低,最终导致其转化植株淀粉含量显著低于 野生型,蔗糖转运能力也显著下降^[66]。在拟南芥 植株发育过程中,缺失 cPGM 会严重影响植物的

表 3 微藻 PGM 蛋白保守结构域预测

Table 3 Conserved domain prediction of microalgae PGM proteins

GenBank ID	PSSM-ID	Starting position	End position of	<i>E</i> -value	Bit score	Short name	Superfamily
		of conservative	conservative				1 2
		structural domain	structural domain				
Chlorophyta							
ADD25038.1	177 942	47	604	0	1 047.32	PLN02307	c138939
GAQ78653.1	177 942	222	806	0	1 059.65	PLN02307	c138939
	469 662	56	211	4.47E-18	82.73	DNA BRE	cl00213
						C superfamily	
GAQ91740.1	177 942	117	681	0	1 134.37	PLN02307	c138939
XP_003056074.1	177 942	33	600	0	1 130.14	PLN02307	c138939
XP 002507519.1	177 942	8	575	0	1 157.49	PLN02307	c138939
XP_003083406.1	177 942	1	559	0	1 126.67	PLN02307	c138939
KAF6254198.1	177 942	37	602	0	1 062.34	PLN02307	c138939
PRW59596.1	177 942	62	624	0	1 034.61	PLN02307	cl38939
KAI8462587.1	177 942	39	598	0	1 018.43	PLN02307	c138939
GBF95149.1	177 942	53	601	0	1 008.03	PLN02307	c138939
XP 011399073.1	177 942	2	565	0	991.08	PLN02307	c138939
EWM21792.1	476 822	471	1 069	0	960.65	Phosphohexomutase	c138939
	460 300	28	442	7.12E-148	447.73	superfamily UDPGP	cl46593
QKY14898.1	177 942	1	557	0	1 054.64	PLN02307	c138939
Cyanobacteria							
MDJ0573558.1	100 087	4	543	0	1 026.78	PGM1	c138939
MDJ0518457.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 037.18	PGM1	c138939
MDJ0621091.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 042.57	PGM1	c138939
PZV16368.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 033.71	PGM1	c138939
PZO44876.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 032.94	PGM1	c138939
PZU97200.1	100 087	4	543	0	1 030.25	PGM1	c138939
HIK31139.1	100 087	4	543	0	1 037.18	PGM1	c138939
HIK46815.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 016.38	PGM1	c138939
MBW4461093.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 025.62	PGM1	c138939
MDT9339139.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 023.31	PGM1	c138939
MDE5092974.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 021.39	PGM1	c138939
NJP19473.1	100 087	4	543	0	1 032.56	PGM1	c138939
NJM98782.1	100 087	4	543	0	1 024.08	PGM1	c138939
MCH2048694.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 021.77	PGM1	c138939
MBS9770748.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 017.15	PGM1	c138939
MCA6612058.1	100 087	4	544	0	1 014.84	PGM1	c138939
BAY99341.1	100 087	6	546	0	1 022.16	PGM1	c138939
KPQ33795.1	100 087	4	543	0	1 036.41	PGM1	c138939
Rhodophyta							
KAA8499052.1	100 087	7	580	0	966.69	PGM1	c138939
KAI0560001.1	476 822	3	584	0	947.94	Phosphohexomutase	c138939
						superfamily	
XP_005717288.1	476 822	8	584	0	928.17	Phosphohexomutase	c138939
						superfamily	

(待续)

								(续表 3)
GenBank ID	PSSM-ID	Starting	position	End position of	E-value	Bit score	Short name	Superfamily
		of cons	servative	conservative				
		structural	domain	structural domain				
Diatoms								
GAX13287.1	177 942	464		1 059	0	1 008.41	PLN02307	c138939
	460 300	21		429	5.48E-144	437.33	UDPGP	c146593
GAX25814.1	177 942	464		1 059	0	999.94	PLN02307	c138939
	460 300	21		429	3.42E-143	435.02	UDPGP	c146593
XP_002185375.1	177 942	462		1 057	0	1 051.17	PLN02307	c138939
	132 998	65		373	2.21E-143	431.29	UGPase_euk	cl11394
GFH52288.1	177 942	469		1 058	0	1 002.25	PLN02307	c138939
	460 300	21		428	2.49E-146	443.49	UDPGP	cl46593
Phaeophyta								
AIQ80989.1	177 942	475		1 071	0	981.07	PLN02307	c138939
	460 300	33		439	1.07E-147	447.35	UDPGP	c146593

Data source: NCBI Conserved Domain Database. PSSM-ID: The unique identifier for a domain model's position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM); *E*-value: The expect value, or *E*-value, indicates the statistical significance of the hit as the likelihood the hit was found by chance, the lower the *E*-value, the higher the credibility; Bit score: The value S' is derived from the raw alignment score S in which the statistical properties of the scoring system used have been taken into account, a higher bit score indicates greater reliability; Short name: The short name of a conserved domain, which concisely defines the domain; Superfamily: Populated only for domain models that are specific or non-specific hits, and it lists the accession number of the superfamily to which the domain model belongs.



图 2 PGM 参与的淀粉合成与降解途径

Figure 2 The starch biosynthesis and degradation pathways by PGM. Chloroplast, PGI: Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase; PGM: Phosphoglucomutase; AGPase: ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase; GBSS: Granule bound starch synthase; SS: Starch synthases; BE: Branching enzyme; DBE: Starch debranching enzyme; AMY: Amylase; ISA: Isomaltase; MAP: Maltose phosphorylase. Cytosol, EMP: Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas Pathway; TCA Cycle: Tricarboxylic acid cycle.

pPGM 是卡尔文循环途径的关键酶。当植 物细胞处于异养状态时,葡萄糖-6-磷酸从细胞 质转运到质体,这种反应需要由 pPGM 介导胞 内葡萄糖-6-磷酸到葡萄-1-磷酸的转化,葡萄糖-1-磷酸进入叶绿体基质后,可以通过各种淀粉 合酶同工酶的介导,进入淀粉生物合成的途径, 也可以直接作为葡聚糖延伸的葡萄糖基供体[12]。 在产淀粉植物豌豆(Pisum sativum L.)中, 质体 内 pPGM 为淀粉合成提供底物葡萄糖-1-磷酸, 而缺失 pPGM 活性会降低细胞内淀粉产量或导 致无淀粉产生^[67]。通过突变拟南芥 pPGM, 会 限制葡萄糖-6-磷酸转化为葡萄糖-1-磷酸,进而抑 制 ADP-葡萄糖合成, 使突变体淀粉含量降低^[68]。 同样的是,通过 CRISPR-Cas9 技术分别突变白 杨树(Populus tremula×tremuloides) 2 个 pPGM (PGM1、PGM2)基因,2个品系完全展开的叶 片、韧皮部组织和根尖中都缺乏淀粉^[69]。此外, 研究表明在异养状态下,植物组织 pPGM 调节淀 粉合成和碳水化合物氧化代谢的碳分配中起着关 键作用。例如,研究人员构建了一株拟南芥 pPGM1 功能缺失突变体,在早期种子发育过程 中,由于 PGM 功能的缺失无法合成淀粉,突变 体种子的碳存储较少,难以维持脂质合成;通 过气相色谱分析种子总脂质提取物中的脂肪酸 甲酯,结果显示,在同一培养条件下,pPGM1 突变体的种子油脂含量减少了 40%^[70]。另外, 有研究指出蓝藻 PGM 对糖原和中心碳代谢物的 调节起着重要的作用,缺乏 PGM 的蓝藻会降低 对强光或缺氮等压力的适应度; 当磷酸甘露糖酶/ 葡萄糖磷酸变位酶复合酶(phosphomannomutase/ phosphoglucomutase, PMM/PGM)过表达时, 蓝藻对这些应激的反应会降低[71]。在微藻糖 代谢途径中, PGM 似乎不只限于参与淀粉合 成,还间接参与其他的糖代谢,一些藻类甘 露糖-1-磷酸鸟苷酸转移酶(mannose-1-phosphate guanylyltransferase, MPG)能催化葡萄糖-1-磷酸或甘露糖-1-磷酸合成海藻酸^[72],再通过尿苷二磷酸葡萄糖焦磷酸化酶(UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase, UDPase)或GDP 甘露糖焦磷酸化酶(GDP-mannose pyrophosphorylase, GMP)催化合成卡拉胶^[73]或琼脂^[74]。

近年来,有研究人员在对微藻进行光自养培 养和异养发酵时发现, 微藻不仅能光合自养通过 卡尔文循环获得多糖合成所需的物质,还能够通 过从环境中吸收单糖合成淀粉^[6,75-77]。Koo 等^[78]通 过γ辐射获得高产淀粉莱茵衣藻(Chlamydomonas reinhardtii)突变体,在所有突变体中, PGM 过表 达的莱茵衣藻细胞内葡萄糖- 6-磷酸浓度低且淀 粉含量高,这表明过表达 PGM 有利于藻细胞内葡 萄糖-6-磷酸向葡萄糖-1-磷酸的转化,促进淀粉合 成。有研究表明 PGM 的过表达可显著提高三角褐 指藻(Phaeodactylum tricornutum)金藻昆布多糖含 量并降低其脂质含量, 过表达硅藻 PGM, 可能导 致其多糖合成关键基因的表达水平显著增加,而 脂质合成关键酶转录丰度显著降低, 差异转录表 明 PGM 协调了硅藻中金藻多糖和脂质生物合成途 径^[79]。这些结果可推断, PGM 可能是碳水化合物 和脂质代谢之间碳分流过程的关键调控节点。

在植物细胞中, PGM 分为质体定位的 pPGM 和胞质定位的 cPGM 2 个亚型^[14,80], cPGM 主要参与光合作用、呼吸作用、蔗糖代 谢和细胞壁合成^[10,25,81-83], pPGM 主要确保淀 粉合成过程中葡萄糖-1-磷酸的供应^[11]。然 而,真核微藻 PGM 的分类及潜在生物学功能 仍不明确。真核微藻作为低等光合生物,其 PGM 可能同时拥有植物 cPGM 和 pPGM 的功 能,或是具有 PMM/PGM 复合蛋白催化相关反 应的特性^[71-72]。通过基因编辑技术和组学分析 进一步发掘真核微藻 PGM 的生理功能,可为 调控微藻合成高值目标物质提供理论依据。

3 微藻葡萄糖磷酸变位酶的活性 调控

3.1 氨基酸基序与 PGM 活性的关系

PGM 是糖代谢的关键调节点,翻译后修饰 是控制其活性的重要机制。微藻 PGM 被鉴定为 具有2个丝氨酸磷酸化位点——Ser⁴⁷和 Ser¹⁵². 其中 Ser⁴⁷ 位于酶的表面,处于一个被称为"闩 锁"的环中; Ser¹⁵² 对应于催化活性丝氨酸残 基,负责糖分子与酶之间的磷酸转移,通过对 Ser⁴⁷ 和 Ser¹⁵² 的磷酸化和去磷酸化能调节藻类 PGM活性^[84-85]。研究表明, PGM催化的反应需 要葡萄糖-1,6-二磷酸作为中间体实现磷酸基团 在底物分子上的转移,葡萄糖-1,6-二磷酸的磷 酸基团在酶分子活性位点被重新分配,1'或 6' 位置的磷酸基团转移到催化活性丝氨酸残基, 从而实现葡萄糖-1-磷酸和葡萄糖-6-磷酸的相互 转化,由于只有一个磷酸基团发生解离,因此酶 活性位点在反应中持续保持磷酸化(图 3)^[84,86]。 Doello 等^[85]证实了,在氮饥饿时,蓝藻 PGM 残 基 (Ser⁴⁷) 被磷酸化后其活性显著下降。 Periappuram 等^[70]从拟南芥中鉴定了一种 PGM 酶的编码序列(coding sequence, CDS) (GeneBank

登录号: AJ242601), 将蛋白命名为 At-PGMp, 氨基酸序列分析发现 At-PGMp 最保守的区域有催 化反应中心(Ser-Ala-Ser¹⁸¹-His-Asn)、金属结合位 点(Asp-Gly-Asp-Gly-Asp)和葡萄糖环结合位点 (Cys-Gly-Glu-Glu-Ser-Phe), PGM 蛋白氨基酸序 列中2个Asp 残基对协调辅因子 Mg^{2+} 是必需的。在 褐藻 Saccharina japonica 中鉴定的 PMM/PGM 复 合蛋白保守基序显示, PMM/PGM 蛋白由帽结 构域和核心结构域组成,帽结构域(Arg¹²⁵、 Arg¹³⁶ 和 Arg¹⁴³)氨基酸残基会与核心结构域 (Arg²³ 和 Lys⁵³)氨基酸残基形成静电斥力, 使这 2 个结构域向底物开放: 当带负电荷的底物与 帽结构结合时,结构域会闭合形成催化反应所 需的溶剂排斥环境,底物结合到帽结构域 (Arg¹³⁶、Arg¹⁴³和 Ser¹⁸¹)形成磷酸盐结合位点; Asp¹⁸³、Arg¹²⁵ 和 Arg²³ 结合甘露糖-1-磷酸或葡 萄糖-1-磷酸,随后被推向活性位点^[72]。

3.2 金属离子对 PGM 的活性调控

在植物中,金属离子是 PGM 活性调节的重 要元素(表 4)。Mg²⁺是维持 PGM 活性所必需 的^[73,87,91]。Be²⁺离子对葡萄糖磷酸变位酶有较强 的抑制作用,在 10 μmol/L BeCl₂存在的情况 下,Be²⁺导致 PGM 快速失活;在添加 Mg²⁺离子



图 3 PGM 催化的反应^[84,86]

Figure 3 The reaction catalyzed by PGM^[84,86]. The reversible conversion of glucose-1-phosphate and glucose-6-phosphate was catalyzed by phosphoglucomutase (PGM). Glucose-1,6-bisphosphate was an intermediate in this reaction. The initial step performed the transfer of a phosphate group (represented in red) from the serine residue at the active site of PGM. The intermediate was rotated at the active site with 180°, then the phosphate group (depicted in yellow) from the substrate was returned to the PGM active site for restoring the enzyme towards its active state. Ultimately, glucose-1-phosphate was converted into glucose-6-phosphate. This reaction is a reversible reaction.

和 EDTA 后,酶活性被修复,并且恢复了约 20%的酶活力,这可能是因为 EDTA 从酶上解 离了抑制性金属离子^[87]。从菠菜分离得到的 2 种 PGM 酶活性均表现出对 MgCl₂的高度依赖,当 反应体系 EDTA 的浓度超过 Mg²⁺ (10 mmol/L) 达到 30 mmol/L,PGM 活性被完全抑制;另外, 在咪唑缓冲体系中,当EDTA 浓度为 3 mmol/L 低 于 Mg²⁺时,PGM 酶的活性会增加,这表明 Mg²⁺ 是维持 PGM 酶活性所必需的,低浓度 EDTA 可 将酶结合位点解离抑制性盐离子,使 Mg²⁺更好 地与酶结合位点作用;而高浓度 EDTA 会抑制 Mg²⁺与酶活性位点结合而导致 PGM 的失活^[91]。

褐藻 Saccharina japonica 的 PMM/PGM 表 征发现, 5 mmol/L 金属化合物 [如 MgCl₂ (Mg²⁺)] 会显著提高 PGM 的活性,相较于未处理增加了 约 21 倍, 即由 0.22 U/mg 增加到 4.63 U/mg; MnCl₂ (Mn²⁺)对 PGM 活性也有一定的增强作 用, PGM 活性可提高到 0.80 U/mg^[72]。Jackson 等^[88]研究了在不同温度、pH 和 5 mmol/L 不同 金属离子 (MgCl₂、LiCl、CoCl₂、MnCl₂、 CaCl₂、NiCl₂和 ZnCl₂)对褐藻 Laminaria digitata PGM 活性的影响,与对照相比, Mg^{2+} 、 Mn^{2+} 和 Zn²⁺对 PGM 的活性有显著促进作用,分别提高 了 10 倍、6 倍和 6 倍; Ca²⁺、Co²⁺、Ni²⁺和 Li²⁺ 对 PGM 活性有一定的抑制作用; PGM 在 35-45 ℃温度区间内保持高活性,而65 ℃以上 则失活; PGM 在 pH 8.0 时表现最大活性。正钒 酸钠(sodium orthovanadate)是一种常用的磷酸酯 酶抑制剂,研究表明 PGM 活性会被葡萄糖-1-磷酸和无机钒酸盐形成的复合物所抑制^[92]。

一般认为,重金属对酶活性有一定的抑制 作用。Devi 等^[89]研究探讨了外源铅(Pb)对豌豆 幼苗生长、蔗糖代谢、淀粉降解、磷酸戊糖途 径、糖酵解等途径重要酶活性的影响,发现在 施加 0.5 mmol/L Pb 的胁迫条件下,豌豆 PGM 的活性在嫩茎和叶片中分别下调 30%和 24%, 铅可能通过影响组织的碳水化合物状态,干扰 生物体的吸水能力,从而抑制生物体的生长。

3.3 有机化合物对 PGM 活性的影响

一些有机物也被证明可调节PGM活性(表4)。 分散兰 56 (disperse blue 56)是一种基于蒽醌的染料,在水溶液中会形成有机分子聚集体,是PGM的非竞争性抑制剂,在体外显示出强烈的抑制作用,能够在酶表面富集以抑制酶活性^[93]。Chen等^[74]发现在 5 μmol/L 分散兰 56 浓度下,红藻 *Gracilariopsis lemaneiformis* PGM 酶活性和琼脂含量下降了 40%。

在微藻生长过程中,淀粉合成途径中间产物 对 PGM 活性也有影响。在含有 2.4 mmol/L 果糖-1,6-二磷酸的情况下, 拟南芥 PGM 活性下降了 50%^[70]。此外,在分别含有1 mmol/L 的代谢物 D-果糖-1-磷酸、D-果糖-6-磷酸、D-甘油-2-磷 酸、果糖-1.6-二磷酸、甘油-2.3-二磷酸、UDP-葡萄糖、AMP、ADP 或 ATP 的体系检测酶活 性,与未添加抑制剂相比,2种二磷酸盐抑制 了 PGM 56%-60%的酶活性, 而其他物质抑制 了 PGM 5%-13%的酶活性^[87]。这种由于多糖代 谢途径中间产物而影响 PGM 活性的情况在莱茵 衣藻中也有发现,葡萄糖-6-磷酸含量升高正反馈 调节PGM表达,促进了淀粉的过度积累^[78]。研究 表明,甲硫氨酸会降低 PGM 转录水平,相比对 照组减少了约 53%, 巯基保护试剂能提高酶制剂 的稳定性,但相关的机理尚不明确^[73]。

24-表油菜素内酯(24-epibrassinolide, 24-epiBL)是一类人工合成的油菜素内酯类似 物,对植物种子萌发、脂质过氧化、脯氨酸含 量等均有调节作用^[94]。汤小彬等^[90]研究不同浓 度 24-epiBL 和 3 种温度对红藻(*Gracilariopsis lemaneiformis*) PGM 表达量的影响,结果表 明,在15 ℃时, 0.5 mg/L 24-epiBL 的 PGM 表

Chemical compound	Concentration	Effects of PGM activity	References	
Eructose 1.6 hisphosphote	2.4 mmol/I	A stivity reduced by 50%	[70]	
Magnagium shlarida (MaCl.)	2.4 mmol/L	Enhanced estivity by 21 times	[70]	
Magnesium chioride ($MgCl_2$)	5.0 mmol/L	Enhanced activity by 21 times	[/2]	
Manganese(II) chloride (MinCl ₂)	10.0 1/7	Enhanced activity by 4 times	5-03	
Methionine	10.0 mmol/L	Reduce transcription levels	[73]	
Disperse blue 56	5.0 μmol/L	Activity reduced by 40%	[74]	
Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA)	0.2 mmol/L	Improve activity when exist together	[87]	
Magnesium chloride (MgCl ₂)	1.0-10.0 mmol/L			
Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate	1.0 mmol/L	Activity reduced by 56%-60%		
Fructose-1-phosphate		Activity reduced by 5%-13%		
Fructose-6-phosphate				
2-phosphoglycerate				
UDP-glucose				
Adenosine monophosphate (AMP)				
Adenosine diphosphate (ADP)				
Adenosine triphosphate (ATP)				
Manganese(II) chloride (MnCl ₂)	5.0 mmol/L	Enhanced activity by 6 times	[88]	
Zinc chloride (ZnCl ₂)				
Sodium chloride (NaCl)		Inhibition as concentration increases		
Calcium chloride (CaCl ₂)		Inhibition of activity		
Cobalt(II) chloride (CoCl ₂)				
Nickel chloride (NiCl ₂)				
Lithium chloride (LiCl ₂)				
Lead (Pb)	0.5 mmol/L	Activity reduced by 24%-30%	[89]	
24-epibrassinolide (24-epiBL)	1.0 mg/L	Enhanced activity by 2.38 times at 25 °C	[90]	

表 4 不同化合物对 PGM 活性的影响

Table 4 Effects of different compounds on PGM activity

达量最高,为对照组的 1.72 倍;在 25 ℃和 31 ℃时,1.0 mg/L 24-epiBL处理组的 PGM 表达 量最高,分别为对照组的 2.38 倍和 1.51 倍,藻 细胞的琼脂含量与 PGM 表达量呈正相关关系。

4 微藻 PGM 潜在影响高值化 产物合成

微藻可合成蛋白质、多不饱和脂肪酸、色 素等高附加值产物,已广泛应用于医药、食 品、饲料、化妆品等领域。目前,微藻科技工 作者一直致力于提高微藻目标物质合成的技术 工艺,推动微藻生物技术和行业的发展。为 此,本节将介绍现有微藻 PGM 对其高附加值产 物合成的潜在影响,旨在为高效精准调控合成 目标产物提供实践和理论依据。

4.1 蛋白质

在自养且高氮水平条件下,微藻细胞可合成 高丰度的蛋白质。例如,螺旋藻(Spirulina)在适宜 的生长环境下,蛋白质含量可达 60%-70%^[95]。小 球藻在光自养且氮源充足的条件下,其蛋白质 含量可达 50%以上^[96-97]。现有关于提高自养微藻 蛋白质含量的研究工作,重心都集中在培养基 优化,例如周有彩等^[19]研究不同氮源对微藻细 胞生长及蛋白质代谢的影响发现,以尿素氮为 小球藻培养过程中的唯一氮源可使异养条件下 蛋白质含量(<40%)提高至 52.3%,与光自养条件 相当。然而,自养微藻合成蛋白质所需的碳骨 架主要来自其胞内淀粉降解形成的中间糖类代谢物(如丙酮酸、草酰乙酸等)^[7,98]。然而,在自养微藻淀粉代谢方面,研究者主要关注核酮糖-1,5-二磷酸羧化酶/加氧酶(ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, Rubisco)、AGPase等关键酶的调控^[13,99],关于明确微藻 PGM 是否调控蛋白质合成的研究报道还尚未发现。综上所述,微藻 PGM 是微藻淀粉合成的关键酶之一。因此,调控 PGM 活性是否影响自养微藻蛋白质合成值得更深入研究。

与自养培养不同, 异养微藻可利用有机碳 源进行发酵获得高蛋白含量的微藻生物质。以 异养小球藻为例,其蛋白含量普遍较低(35%以 下),导致藻类商业化开发困难。近年来,有研 究人员提出微藻对营养物质的过度补偿理论[96], 研究表明营养调控会影响异养小球藻淀粉代谢 途径相关酶的基因表达,调控高值化产物合成 所需的碳骨架流量^[100-102]。Xiao 等^[6]通过两阶段 氮调控提高异养小球藻蛋白质合成的研究发 现,小球藻 MBFJNU-17 在缺氮培养下淀粉含量 可达 50.60%; 随后进行富氮调控, 此时微藻细 胞开始吸收培养环境中的氮以合成蛋白质、当 蛋白质含量达到峰值(55.60%)时,胞内淀粉含量 会迅速下降到 20.61%, 这表明在异养培养过程 中,淀粉代谢是小球藻 MBFJNU-17 胞内生物质转 化的关键点。此外,通过转录组分析氮调控前后 的小球藻基因表达表明,在小球藻 MBFJNU-17 淀 粉代谢途径中, AGPase、PGM、SS 等关键酶 的基因在氮调控前后存在明显表达差异^[6]。为 此,着手开展包括 PGM 在内的关键酶活性调 控, 阐明这些关键酶如何整体上影响小球藻蛋 白质合成的调控机制,可为异养小球藻蛋白质 开发提供理论依据。

4.2 油脂

原核微藻的油脂合成代谢分布在细胞质,

真核微藻的油脂合成代谢分布在叶绿体膜和内质 网膜^[103]。此外,由于不同微藻拥有自身独特的 油脂代谢途径,使不同微藻合成的多不饱和脂肪 酸种类及其丰度存在较大差异。例如,螺旋藻 *Spirulina platensis* 主要合成的多不饱和脂肪酸 是 γ-亚麻酸^[104],小球藻主要合成亚油酸和 α-亚 麻酸^[105-106],微拟球藻(*Nannochloropsis oceanica*) 可合成二十碳五烯酸^[107]。因此,开发微藻多不 饱和脂肪酸也是微藻研究领域的热点方向。

目前,调控微藻油脂合成的研究工作集中 在营养缺乏策略和分子生物学调控油脂代谢关键 酶表达。为应对环境中营养缺乏带来的生长压 迫,微藻会以糖类或脂质的形式储存能量^[108-111]。 研究表明在氮胁迫条件下, 莱茵衣藻将淀粉转 化为脂质,以三酰甘油酯(triacylglycerol, TAG) 形式积累到细胞内[112]。藻类脂质合成受到中央 碳代谢途径的转录调控,在缺氮时期,佐夫色 绿藻(Chromochloris zofingiensis)的 PGM 转录水 平上调,淀粉合成保持不变,但淀粉降解酶活性 的提高使细胞内糖酵解途径的碳流主要分配到脂 肪酸合成途径,促进油脂积累[110-111]。同样地,链 带藻(Desmodesmus sp.)在氮胁迫条件下也表现出 油脂含量的大幅增加(40%-50%)^[113]。在硅藻 Phaeodactylum tricornutum 中, 双功能 UGPase-PGM 融合转录本的敲除导致 TAG 积累急剧增加 45 倍^[114]。微藻从环境中吸收 CO₂ 的过程, Rubisco起着关键作用,Wei等^[115]通过在微拟球 藻(Nannochloropsis oceanica)中过表达藻自身的 Rubisco 以提高光合作用效率, 使生长率提高了 32%, 生物质积累提高约 50%, 脂质产量提高 约 41%。值得注意的是,调控微藻油脂合成的 碳流量来自机体内的碳水化合物和蛋白质,在 缺氮条件下微藻会降解多糖和蛋白质将碳重新 分配到脂质中[110]。微藻从光合作用或糖酵解中 获得的过量碳被重新分配到含碳化合物中,如

葡萄糖-6-磷酸、果糖-6-磷酸、磷酸烯醇丙酮 酸,然后通过 γ-氨基丁酸途径、糖酵解和三羧 酸循环转移到脂质代谢中,用于合成脂质^[116]。对 于自养微藻,提高自养微藻固碳效率促进细胞内 碳水化合物的积累,再通过调控后有利于碳水化 合物向油脂和/或多不饱和脂肪酸的合成^[117-118]。正 如 4.1 所述,促进自养微藻细胞内碳水化合物积 累的机理研究多集中在 Rubisco、AGPase 等关键 酶。目前,调控 PGM 活性对自养微藻油脂和多 不饱和脂肪酸合成的响应特征,值得深入探究。

对于异养微藻,微藻合成油脂的碳骨架来自 外源的有机碳源。在异养条件下微藻能够快速吸 收葡萄糖,通过上调糖酵解和三羧酸循环加速葡 萄糖的分解代谢,快速合成丙酮酸;同时,参与 脂肪酸合成的大多数酶的上调和参与脂肪酸降解 的酶的下调均有利于细胞内脂肪酸的合成^[35]。近 期,研究表明通过缺氮调控后,一些异养微藻 (如小球藻、栅藻等)可将碳水化合物转化为淀 粉^[6,119],这无疑不利于高附加值油脂的开发和应 用。因此,若使糖酵解受阻,在代谢途径改变 时,细胞可能寻找其他方式来产生能量和维持正 常功能,这可能会促进脂质合成^[74]。因此,揭示 PGM等关键酶对调控异养微藻合成油脂和多不饱 和脂肪酸的作用机制,可为高值化开发微藻多不 饱和脂肪酸奠定理论基础。

4.3 色素

不同微藻种属具有不同的色素合成通路, 赋予其合成不同种类色素的能力。雨生红球藻 (Haematococcus pluvialis)可合成虾青素^[120-122], 等鞭金藻(Isochrysis)合成岩藻黄素^[123],杜氏盐 藻主要合成β-胡萝卜素^[121]。有些色素(如岩藻黄 素)也是微藻重要捕光色素,对微藻光合作用具 有重要的作用。代谢组学分析表明,在高碳源 诱导条件下,微藻糖酵解、磷酸戊糖途径和三 羧酸循环途径会增强,进而促进色素积累^[124]。 此外, 藻类研究者尝试提高自养微藻细胞色素 含量,一方面提高微藻的光合固碳性能,另一方 面可获得含高浓度色素的微藻生物质资源。例 如,在高密度培养微藻时,光无法均匀穿透培养 基,导致光合作用受到抑制。为此,有研究人 员提出,通过基因工程手段,降低莱茵衣藻叶 绿素合成调控基因(tlal)的表达可减少细胞叶绿素 含量,使更多的细胞参与到光合作用,提高整体 光合效率[125]。微藻色素合成受生长条件和环境 中营养物质丰度影响[126],培养基中的磷、氮和 硫等元素已被证明是色素合成所必需的,其中不 同的氮源对微藻色素含量影响最大[127-128]。研究 表明在培养基中额外添加葡萄糖和硝酸盐可提升 念珠藻(Nostoc commune)中藻蓝蛋白含量^[129]。 Kovač 等^[130]发现,提供稳定持续的光照和碳源 会提高螺旋藻藻蓝蛋白(12 倍)和别藻蓝蛋白(16 倍)的含量。异养微藻合成目标产物的碳骨架来 自培养基的有机碳^[131],通过调节培养基营养组 成(尤其是碳源)能明显影响藻类色素的合成; 然而,关于碳源代谢酶与色素合成的调控机制 研究仍然较少。尽管有报道指出碳通量变化会 调控藻类色素合成速率,但其中的相关机理尚 不明确^[131]。因此, 探明 PGM 等淀粉合成关键 酶对调控微藻色素合成相关机制,可为微藻合 成高值化产物工业化提供理论基础。

大量研究表明, 异养培养的微藻也能合成其 自养模式下的色素种类, 但是色素含量存在差 异。例如, 异养培养被应用于藻类叶黄素的生 产, 通过异养培养小球藻可获得 5.3 mg/g 的藻类 生物质叶黄素^[132], 而在光自养条件下, 藻类叶黄 素产量可达到约 7.5 mg/g^[131]。光自养条件下螺旋 藻可合成获得高含量的天然藻蓝蛋白^[131,133]; 除了 光自养, 螺旋藻还可利用葡萄糖进行异养生长, 在 异养培养条件下, 藻蓝蛋白的含量约为 57 mg/g, 大 约是混合营养条件下的一半^[131,134]。正如 4.2 所 述, 异养微藻合成目标产物的碳骨架主要来自 培养基的有机碳。在异养模式下, 微藻通过碳 代谢酶将从环境中获得的有机碳转化为淀粉等 聚合物,为碳代谢反应提供中间糖代谢物^[131]。 PGM 是淀粉合成的关键酶, 调控异养微藻 PGM, 降低其淀粉合成, 进而调节异养微藻的 碳骨架流量, 这是否可提高异养微藻目标色素 的合成? 跟踪现有的文献, 这一研究尚处于空 白的领域。

5 展望

目前, 微藻多糖、蛋白、脂质等高附加值产 物产量低限制了微藻工业的发展。微藻 PGM 作 为淀粉合成途径中关键的限速酶,对其分子特性 和活性调控的研究仍然较少,对 PGM 影响藻类 高附加值产物的研究仍聚焦于淀粉、琼脂等生物 多糖,对 PGM 间接调控蛋白、油脂、色素等其 他产物的研究关注不足。随着生物信息学和基因 工程技术的发展,我们有望深入发掘 PGM 催化 高值化产物合成的分子机制、调控途径及在其微 藻发酵工业上潜在的应用价值,进一步明确藻类 淀粉作为碳源转化为高附加值产物合成途径,深 入研究 PGM 与淀粉合成途径中其他关键酶的相互 作用。从分子水平出发,通过转录组学、代谢组学、 蛋白组学等组学和结构生物学等技术,揭示微藻淀 粉代谢的动态调节机制,为提高微藻在不同培养环 境中的适应性和调控目标产物合成提供理论支 持。这不仅有助于解析微藻淀粉合成的分子机 制,也为未来对藻类工程改造提供了更准确的靶 点,为发展微藻固碳技术和高值化开发微藻提供 新思路,助力我国"双碳"目标奠定理论基础。

参考文献

[1] 吴朋徽, 刘耀, 张磊, 肖芃颖, 张玥. 微藻两阶段培养 技术研究进展[J]. 微生物学通报, 2024, 51(1): 1-16. WU PH, LIU Y, ZHANG L, XIAO PY, ZHANG Y. Progress in two-stage culture of microalgae[J]. Microbiology China, 2024, 51(1): 1-16 (in Chinese).

- [2] NITSOS C, FILALI R, TAIDI B, LEMAIRE J. Current and novel approaches to downstream processing of microalgae: a review[J]. Biotechnology Advances, 2020, 45: 107650.
- [3] LI HG, WATSON J, ZHANG YH, LU HF, LIU ZD. Environment-enhancing process for algal wastewater treatment, heavy metal control and hydrothermal biofuel production: a critical review[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2020, 298: 122421.
- [4] 郭瑞雪,杨友,张诗雯,Qureshi Shahidmoin,顾嘉琦, 武彩霞,刘进军,兰金苹.小球藻高价值活性物质研 究现状及展望[J].河北北方学院学报(自然科学版), 2020, 36(3): 54-60.
 GUO RX, YANG Y, ZHANG SW, SHAHIDMOIN Q, GU JQ, WU CX, LIU JJ, LAN JP. Research status and prospect of high-value active substances from *Chlorella vulgaris*[J]. Journal of Hebei North University (Natural Science Edition), 2020, 36(3): 54-60 (in Chinese).
- [5] QU DH, MIAO XL. Carbon flow conversion induces alkali resistance and lipid accumulation under alkaline conditions based on transcriptome analysis in *Chlorella* sp. BLD[J]. Chemosphere, 2021, 265: 129046.
- [6] XIAO XH, ZHOU YC, LIANG ZB, LIN RZ, ZHENG MM, CHEN BL, HE YJ. A novel two-stage heterotrophic cultivation for starch-to-protein switch to efficiently enhance protein content of *Chlorella* sp. MBFJNU-17[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2022, 344(Pt A): 126187.
- [7] FAN JH, NING K, ZENG XW, LUO YC, WANG DM, HU JQ, LI J, XU H, HUANG JK, WAN MX, WANG WL, ZHANG DJ, SHEN GM, RUN CL, LIAO JJ, FANG L, HUANG S, JING XY, SU XQ, WANG AH, et al. Genomic foundation of starch-to-lipid switch in oleaginous *Chlorella* spp.[J]. Plant Physiology, 2015, 169(4): 2444-2461.
- [8] NORDIN N, YUSOF N, MAEDA T, MUSTAPHA NA, YUSOFF MZM, KHAIRUDDIN RFR. Mechanism of carbon partitioning towards starch and triacylglycerol in *Chlorella vulgaris* under nitrogen stress through whole-transcriptome analysis[J]. Biomass and Bioenergy, 2020, 138: 105600.
- [9] HO SH, NAKANISHI A, KATO Y, YAMASAKI H, CHANG JS, MISAWA N, HIROSE Y, MINAGAWA J,

HASUNUMA T, KONDO A. Dynamic metabolic profiling together with transcription analysis reveals salinity-induced starch-to-lipid biosynthesis in alga *Chlamydomonas* sp. JSC4[J]. Scientific Reports, 2017, 7: 45471.

- [10] EGLI B, KÖLLING K, KÖHLER C, ZEEMAN SC, STREB S. Loss of cytosolic phosphoglucomutase compromises gametophyte development in *Arabidopsis*[J]. Plant Physiology, 2010, 154(4): 1659-1671.
- [11] UEMATSU K, SUZUKI N, IWAMAE T, INUI M, YUKAWA H. Expression of *Arabidopsis* plastidial phosphoglucomutase in tobacco stimulates photosynthetic carbon flow into starch synthesis[J]. Journal of Plant Physiology, 2012, 169(15): 1454-1462.
- [12] MALINOVA I, STEUP M, FETTKE J. Starch-related cytosolic heteroglycans in roots from *Arabidopsis thaliana*[J]. Journal of Plant Physiology, 2011, 168(12): 1406-1414.
- [13] 刘冰颖,刘建华. 微藻淀粉代谢研究进展[J]. 基因 组学与应用生物学, 2019, 38(7): 3097-3104.
 LIU BY, LIU JH. Research progress of starch metabolism in microalgae[J]. Genomics and Applied Biology, 2019, 38(7): 3097-3104 (in Chinese).
- HERBERT M, BURKHARD C,
 SCHNARRENBERGER C. A survey for isoenzymes of glucosephosphate isomerase, phosphoglucomutase, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase in C₃-, C₄- and crassulacean-acid-metabolism plants, and green algae[J]. Planta, 1979, 145(1): 95-104.
- [15] RICCIARDIELLO F, VOTTA G, PALORINI R, RACCAGNI I, BRUNELLI L, PAIOTTA A, TINELLI F, D'ORAZIO G, VALTORTA S, de GIOIA L, PASTORELLI R, MORESCO RM, la FERLA B, CHIARADONNA F. Inhibition of the hexosamine biosynthetic pathway by targeting PGM3 causes breast cancer growth arrest and apoptosis[J]. Cell Death & Disease, 2018, 9: 377.
- [16] GOTO LS, VESSONI ALEXANDRINO A, MALVESSI PEREIRA C, SILVA MARTINS C, D'MUNIZ PEREIRA H, BRANDÃO-NETO J, MARQUES NOVO-MANSUR MT. Structural and functional characterization of the phosphoglucomutase from Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri[J]. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 2016, 1864(12): 1658-1666.
- [17] LYTOVCHENKO A, BIEBERICH K, WILLMITZER L, FERNIE AR. Carbon assimilation and metabolism

🖂 actamicro@im.ac.cn, 🕾 010-64807516

in potato leaves deficient in plastidial phosphoglucomutase[J]. Planta, 2002, 215(5): 802-811.

- [18] LI X, ABHINANDAN K, ZHANG T, WEI D, LI Y, LAN X, SAMUEL MA. Temporal regulation of two cytosolic phosphoglucomutases during stigma development in ornamental kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. acephala)[J]. Plant Signaling & Behavior, 2018, 13(5): e1467698.
- [19] 周有彩,肖雪花,梁志波,何勇锦,陈必链.蛋白质 高产株小球藻 MBFJNU-17 的异养培养基优化[J]. 食 品与发酵工业, 2022, 48(13): 108-114.
 ZHOU YC, XIAO XH, LIANG ZB, HE YJ, CHEN BL. Medium optimization for *Chlorella* sp. MBFJNU-17 heterotrophic cultivation[J]. Food and Fermentation Industries, 2022, 48(13): 108-114 (in Chinese).
- [20] 刘恒恒,董世瑞,王素英.光合微藻潜在生物质能开发的研究进展[J].基因组学与应用生物学,2020,39(11):5271-5277.
 LIU HH, DONG SR, WANG SY. Research progress on potential biomass energy development of photosynthetic microalgae[J]. Genomics and Applied Biology, 2020, 39(11): 5271-5277 (in Chinese).
- [21] LIANG YN, SARKANY N, CUI Y. Biomass and lipid productivities of *Chlorella vulgaris* under autotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic growth conditions[J]. Biotechnology Letters, 2009, 31(7): 1043-1049.
- [22] LU Q, ZHOU WG, MIN M, MA XC, CHANDRA C, DOAN YT, MA YW, ZHENG HL, CHENG SB, GRIFFITH R, CHEN P, CHEN C, URRIOLA P, SHURSON G, GISLERØD H, RUAN R. Growing *Chlorella* sp. on meat processing wastewater for nutrient removal and biomass production[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2015, 198: 189-197.
- [23] TAKAMIYA S, FUKUI T. Purification and multiple forms of phosphoglucomutase from potato tubers[J]. Plant and Cell Physiology, 1978, 19(5): 759-768.
- [24] 王海波,李芙蓉,杨金翠,高永,郭俊云.小桐子磷酸葡萄糖变位酶 cPGM 与 pPGM 基因的克隆及原核表达分析[J]. 华北农学报, 2021, 36(4): 23-30.
 WANG HB, LI FR, YANG JC, GAO Y, GUO JY. Cloning and prokaryotic expression of phosphoglucomutase genes cPGM and pPGM from *Jatropha curcas*[J]. Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Sinica, 2021, 36(4): 23-30 (in Chinese).
- [25] 李晓屿,李玉花,李晗,李治龙,蓝兴国. 植物葡萄 糖磷酸变位酶的研究进展[J]. 植物生理学报, 2015, 51(5): 617-622.
 LI XY, LI YH, LI H, LI ZL, LAN XG. Advances in

research on phosphoglucomutase in plants[J]. Plant Physiology Journal, 2015, 51(5): 617-622 (in Chinese).

- [26] 王博, 宫婷, 李冉, 王琪, 杨劼, 刘微, 杨邹转, 张攀, 胡国富. 基于羊草种子转录组中 PGM 及 SUS 基因家族鉴定与分析[J]. 分子植物育种, 2022, 20(21): 6961-6969.
 WANG B, GONG T, LI R, WANG Q, YANG J, LIU W, YANG ZZ, ZHANG P, HU GF. Identification and analysis of PGM and SUS gene families in *Leymus chinensis* seed transcriptome[J]. Molecular Plant
- [27] KO JH, KIM JY, LEE SH. Molecular cloning and characterization of a cDNA encoding caltractin from *Dunaliella salina*[J]. Plant and Cell Physiology, 1999, 40(4): 457-461.

Breeding, 2022, 20(21): 6961-6969 (in Chinese).

- [28] HORI K, MARUYAMA F, FUJISAWA T, TOGASHI T, YAMAMOTO N, SEO M, SATO S, YAMADA T, MORI H, TAJIMA N, MORIYAMA T, IKEUCHI M, WATANABE M, WADA H, KOBAYASHI K, SAITO M, MASUDA T, SASAKI-SEKIMOTO Y, MASHIGUCHI K, AWAI K, et al. *Klebsormidium flaccidum* genome reveals primary factors for plant terrestrial adaptation[J]. Nature Communications, 2014, 5: 3978.
- [29] WORDEN AZ, LEE JH, MOCK T, ROUZÉ P, SIMMONS MP, AERTS AL, ALLEN AE, CUVELIER ML, DERELLE E, EVERETT MV, FOULON E, GRIMWOOD J, GUNDLACH H, HENRISSAT B, NAPOLI C, McDONALD SM, PARKER MS, ROMBAUTS S, SALAMOV A, von DASSOW P, et al. Green evolution and dynamic adaptations revealed by genomes of the marine picoeukaryotes *Micromonas*[J]. Science, 2009, 324(5924): 268-272.
- [30] BLANC-MATHIEU R, VERHELST B, DERELLE E, ROMBAUTS S, BOUGET FY, CARRÉ I, CHÂTEAU A, EYRE-WALKER A, GRIMSLEY N, MOREAU H, PIÉGU B, RIVALS E, SCHACKWITZ W, van de PEER Y, PIGANEAU G. An improved genome of the model marine alga Ostreococcus tauri unfolds by assessing Illumina de novo assemblies[J]. BMC Genomics, 2014, 15(1): 1103.
- [31] CALHOUN S, BELL TAS, DAHLIN LR, KUNDE Y, LaBUTTI K, LOUIE KB, KUFTIN A, TREEN D, DILWORTH D, MIHALTCHEVA S, DAUM C, BOWEN BP, NORTHEN TR, GUARNIERI MT, STARKENBURG SR, GRIGORIEV IV. A multi-omic characterization of temperature stress in a halotolerant Scenedesmus strain for algal biotechnology[J].

Communications Biology, 2021, 4: 333.

- [32] ARRIOLA MB, VELMURUGAN N, ZHANG Y, PLUNKETT MH, HONDZO H, BARNEY BM. Genome sequences of *Chlorella sorokiniana* UTEX 1602 and *Micractinium conductrix* SAG 241.80: implications to maltose excretion by a green alga[J]. The Plant Journal: for Cell and Molecular Biology, 2018, 93(3): 566-586.
- [33] CALHOUN S, KAMEL B, BELL TAS, KRUSE CPS, RILEY R, SINGAN V, KUNDE Y, GLEASNER CD, CHOVATIA M, SANDOR L, DAUM C, TREEN D, BOWEN BP, LOUIE KB, NORTHEN TR, STARKENBURG SR, GRIGORIEV IV. Multi-omics profiling of the cold tolerant *Monoraphidium minutum* 26B-AM in response to abiotic stress[J]. Algal Research, 2022, 66: 102794.
- [34] SUZUKI S, YAMAGUCHI H, NAKAJIMA N, KAWACHI M. Raphidocelis subcapitata (=Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata) provides an insight into genome evolution and environmental adaptations in the Sphaeropleales[J]. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8: 8058.
- [35] GAO CF, WANG Y, SHEN Y, YAN D, HE X, DAI JB, WU QY. Oil accumulation mechanisms of the oleaginous microalga *Chlorella protothecoides* revealed through its genome, transcriptomes, and proteomes[J]. BMC Genomics, 2014, 15(1): 582.
- [36] CORTEGGIANI CARPINELLI E, TELATIN A, VITULO N, FORCATO C, D'ANGELO M, SCHIAVON R, VEZZI A, GIACOMETTI GM, MOROSINOTTO T, VALLE G. Chromosome scale genome assembly and transcriptome profiling of Nannochloropsis gaditana in nitrogen depletion[J]. Molecular Plant, 2014, 7(2): 323-335.
- [37] FUENTES-RAMÍREZ EO, VÁZQUEZ-ACEVEDO M, CABRERA-OREFICE A, GUERRERO-CASTILLO S, GONZÁLEZ-HALPHEN D. The plastid proteome of the nonphotosynthetic chlorophycean alga *Polytomella parva*[J]. Microbiological Research, 2021, 243: 126649.
- [38] CHASE AB, BOGDANOV A, DEMKO AM, JENSEN PR. Biogeographic patterns of biosynthetic potential and specialized metabolites in marine sediments[J]. The ISME Journal, 2023, 17: 976-983.
- [39] CORNET L, BERTRAND AR, HANIKENNE M, JAVAUX EJ, WILMOTTE A, BAURAIN D. Metagenomic assembly of new (sub)polar Cyanobacteria and their associated microbiome from

non-axenic cultures[J]. Microbial Genomics, 2018, 4(9): e000212.

- [40] ALCORTA J, ALARCÓN-SCHUMACHER T, SALGADO O, DÍEZ B. Taxonomic novelty and distinctive genomic features of hot spring cyanobacteria[J]. Frontiers in Genetics, 2020, 11: 568223.
- [41] WARD RD, STAJICH JE, JOHANSEN JR, HUNTEMANN M, CLUM A, FOSTER B, FOSTER B, ROUX S, PALANIAPPAN K, VARGHESE N, MUKHERJEE S, REDDY TBK, DAUM C, COPELAND A, CHEN IM A, IVANOVA NN, KYRPIDES NC, SHAPIRO N, ELOE-FADROSH EA, PIETRASIAK N. Metagenome sequencing to explore phylogenomics of terrestrial cyanobacteria[J]. Microbiology Resource Announcements, 2021, 10(22): e0025821.
- [42] WALWORTH N, PFREUNDT U, NELSON WC, MINCER T, HEIDELBERG JF, FU FX, WATERBURY JB, GLAVINA del RIO T, GOODWIN L, KYRPIDES NC, LAND ML, WOYKE T, HUTCHINS DA, HESS WR, WEBB EA. *Trichodesmium* genome maintains abundant, widespread noncoding DNA *in situ*, despite oligotrophic lifestyle[J]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2015, 112(14): 4251-4256.
- [43] WEBB EA, HELD NA, ZHAO YM, GRAHAM ED, CONOVER AE, SEMONES J, LEE MD, FENG YY, FU FX, SAITO MA, HUTCHINS DA. Importance of mobile genetic element immunity in numerically abundant *Trichodesmium clades*[J]. ISME Communications, 2023, 3: 15.
- [44] WATERWORTH SC, ISEMONGER EW, REES ER, DORRINGTON RA, KWAN JC. Conserved bacterial genomes from two geographically isolated peritidal stromatolite formations shed light on potential functional guilds[J]. Environmental Microbiology Reports, 2021, 13(2): 126-137.
- [45] LEU AO, EPPLEY JM, BURGER A, DeLONG EF. Diverse genomic traits differentiate sinking-particle-associated versus free-living microbes throughout the oligotrophic open ocean water column[J]. mBio, 2022, 13(4): e0156922.
- [46] CONOVER AE, MORANDO M, ZHAO YM, SEMONES J, HUTCHINS DA, WEBB EA. Alphaproteobacteria facilitate *Trichodesmium* community trimethylamine utilization[J]. Environmental Microbiology, 2021, 23(11): 6798-6810.

- [47] PÉREZ-CARRASCAL OM, TROMAS N, TERRAT Y, MORENO E, GIANI A, CORRÊA BRAGA MARQUES L, FORTIN N, SHAPIRO BJ. Single-colony sequencing reveals microbe-by-microbiome phylosymbiosis between the cyanobacterium *Microcystis* and its associated bacteria[J]. Microbiome, 2021, 9(1): 194.
- [48] HIROSE Y, OHTSUBO Y, MISAWA N, YONEKAWA C, NAGAO N, SHIMURA Y, FUJISAWA T, KANESAKI Y, KATOH H, KATAYAMA M, YAMAGUCHI H, YOSHIKAWA H, IKEUCHI M, EKI T, NAKAMURA Y, KAWACHI M. Genome sequencing of the NIES Cyanobacteria collection with a focus on the heterocyst-forming clade[J]. DNA Research, 2021, 28(6): dsab024.
- [49] NELSON WC, MAEZATO Y, WU YW, ROMINE MF, LINDEMANN SR. Identification and resolution of microdiversity through metagenomic sequencing of parallel consortia[J]. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2015, 82(1): 255-267.
- [50] LEE JM, KIM D, BHATTACHARYA D, YOON HS. Expansion of phycobilisome linker gene families in mesophilic red algae[J]. Nature Communications, 2019, 10: 4823.
- [51] NAKAMURA-GOUVEA N, ALVES-LIMA С, BENITES LF, IHA C, MARACAJA-COUTINHO V, V, ALIAGA-TOBAR ARAUJO AMARAL CARNEIRO M, YOKOYA NS, MARINHO-SORIANO E, GRAMINHA MAS, COLLÉN J, OLIVEIRA MC, SETUBAL JC, COLEPICOLO P. Insights into agar and secondary metabolite pathways from the genome of the Gracilaria domingensis (Rhodophyta, red alga Gracilariales)[J]. Journal of Phycology, 2022, 58(3): 406-423.
- [52] COLLÉN J, PORCEL B, CARRÉ W, BALL SG, CHAPARRO C, TONON T, BARBEYRON T, MICHEL G, NOEL B, VALENTIN K, ELIAS M, ARTIGUENAVE F, ARUN A, AURY JM. BARBOSA-NETO JF, BOTHWELL JH, BOUGET FY, BRILLET L, CABELLO-HURTADO F. CAPELLA-GUTIÉRREZ S, et al. Genome structure and metabolic features in the red seaweed Chondrus crispus shed light on evolution of the Archaeplastida[J]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2013, 110(13): 5247-5252.
- [53] TANAKA T, MAEDA Y, VELUCHAMY A, TANAKA M, ABIDA H, MARÉCHAL E, BOWLER C, MUTO

M, SUNAGA Y, TANAKA M, YOSHINO T, TANIGUCHI T, FUKUDA Y, NEMOTO M, MATSUMOTO M, WONG PS, ABURATANI S, FUJIBUCHI W. Oil accumulation by the oleaginous diatom *Fistulifera solaris* as revealed by the genome and transcriptome[J]. The Plant Cell, 2015, 27(1): 162-176.

- [54] BOWLER C, ALLEN AE, BADGER JH, GRIMWOOD J, JABBARI KUO AL. Κ, MAHESWARI U, MARTENS C, MAUMUS F, RP. RAYKO OTILLAR E. SALAMOV Α. VANDEPOELE K, BESZTERI B, GRUBER A, HEIJDE M, KATINKA M, MOCK T, VALENTIN K, VERRET F, et al. The Phaeodactylum genome reveals the evolutionary history of diatom genomes[J]. Nature, 2008, 456: 239-244.
- [55] HONGO Y, KIMURA K, TAKAKI Y, YOSHIDA Y, BABA S, KOBAYASHI G, NAGASAKI K, HANO T, TOMARU Y. The genome of the diatom *Chaetoceros tenuissimus* carries an ancient integrated fragment of an extant virus[J]. Scientific Reports, 2021, 11: 22877.
- [56] CHI S, FENG YJ, LIU T. Molecular cloning, characterization, and comparison of UDP-glucose pyrophosphorylase from *Gracilaria chouae* and *Saccharina japonica*[J]. Journal of Applied Phycology, 2016, 28(3): 2051-2059.
- [57] 乔景娟, 厉辉, 潘冉冉, 曹萌萌, 陈松笔. 环割阻断 木薯光合作用与储藏根淀粉积累的蛋白质组学[J]. 植物生理学报, 2018, 54(12): 1803-1812.
 QIAO JJ, LI H, PAN RR, CAO MM, CHEN SB. Effects of girdling on proteomic changes related to photosynthesis and starch accumulation in storage root of cassava[J]. Plant Physiology Journal, 2018, 54(12): 1803-1812 (in Chinese).
- [58] 曹丽, 王晗慧, 孙敬彭, 于耸, 郑志民. 拟南芥和水稻 葡萄糖磷酸变位酶基因家族的生物信息学分析[J/OL]. 分子植物育种. [2024-05-14]. http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/ detail/46.1068.S.20210927.2127.004.html.
 CAO L, WANG HH, SUN JP, YU S, ZHENG ZM. Bioinformatics analysis of phosphoglucomutase gene family of *Arabidopsis* and rice[J/OL]. Molecular Plant Breeding. 2024. http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/46.1068. S.20210927.2127.004.html (in Chinese).
- [59] BUSI MV, BARCHIESI J, MARTÍN M, GOMEZ-CASATI DF. Starch metabolism in green algae[J]. Starch-Stärke, 2014, 66(1/2): 28-40.
- [60] VIOLA R, NYVALL P, PEDERSÉN M. The unique features of starch metabolism in red algae[J].

Proceedings Biological Sciences, 2001, 268(1474): 1417-1422.

- [61] 李会,王超,黄思杰,陈健,杨立飞.番茄多胺氧化 酶(PAO)基因家族鉴定及表达分析[J].西北植物学 报,2018,38(4):607-614.
 LI H, WANG C, HUANG SJ, CHEN J, YANG LF.
 Identification and expression analysis of tomato polyamine oxidase (*PAO*) gene family[J]. Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica, 2018, 38(4): 607-614 (in Chinese).
- [62] DOHMEN E, KLASBERG S, BORNBERG-BAUER E, PERREY S, KEMENA C. The modular nature of protein evolution: domain rearrangement rates across eukaryotic life[J]. BMC evolutionary biology, 2020, 20(1): 30.
- [63] MALINOVA I, KUNZ HH, ALSEEKH S, HERBST K, FERNIE AR, GIERTH M, FETTKE J. Reduction of the cytosolic phosphoglucomutase in *Arabidopsis* reveals impact on plant growth, seed and root development, and carbohydrate partitioning[J]. PLoS One, 2014, 9(11): e112468.
- [64] FETTKE J, HEJAZI M, SMIRNOVA J, HÖCHEL E, STAGE M, STEUP M. Eukaryotic starch degradation: integration of plastidial and cytosolic pathways[J]. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2009, 60(10): 2907-2922.
- [65] SEIFERT GJ. Nucleotide sugar interconversions and cell wall biosynthesis: how to bring the inside to the outside[J]. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 2004, 7(3): 277-284.
- [66] LYTOVCHENKO A, SWEETLOVE L, PAULY M, FERNIE AR. The influence of cytosolic phosphoglucomutase on photosynthetic carbohydrate metabolism[J]. Planta, 2002, 215(6): 1013-1021.
- [67] HARRISON CJ, MOULD RM, LEECH MJ, JOHNSON SA, TURNER L, SCHRECK SL, BAIRD KM, JACK PL, RAWSTHORNE S, HEDLEY CL, WANG TL. The rug3 locus of pea encodes plastidial phosphoglucomutase[J]. Plant Physiology, 2000, 122(4): 1187-1192.
- [68] RAGEL P, STREB S, FEIL R, SAHRAWY M, ANNUNZIATA MG, LUNN JE, ZEEMAN S, MÉRIDA Á. Loss of starch granule initiation has a deleterious effect on the growth of *Arabidopsis* plants due to an accumulation of ADP-glucose[J]. Plant Physiology, 2013, 163(1): 75-85.
- [69] WANG W, TALIDE L, VILJAMAA S, NIITTYLÄ T. Aspen growth is not limited by starch reserves[J]. Current Biology: CB, 2022, 32(16): 3619-3627.e4.

- [70] PERIAPPURAM C, STEINHAUER L, BARTON DL, TAYLOR DC, CHATSON B, ZOU J. The plastidic phosphoglucomutase from *Arabidopsis*. A reversible enzyme reaction with an important role in metabolic control[J]. Plant Physiology, 2000, 122(4): 1193-1199.
- [71] ORTEGA-MARTÍNEZ P, ROLDÁN M, DÍAZ-TROYA S, FLORENCIO FJ. Stress response requires an efficient connection between glycogen and central carbon metabolism by phosphoglucomutases in cyanobacteria[J]. Journal of Experimental Botany, 2023, 74(5): 1532-1550.
- [72] ZHANG PY, SHAO ZR, LI L, LIU S, YAO JT, DUAN DL. Molecular characterisation and biochemical properties of phosphomannomutase/phosphoglucomutase (PMM/PGM) in the brown seaweed Saccharina japonica[J]. Journal of Applied Phycology, 2018, 30(4): 2687-2696.
- [73] del ROSARIO-SANTANA D, ROBAINA RR, GARCIA-JIMENEZ P. S-assimilation influences in carrageenan biosynthesis genes during ethylene-induced carposporogenesis in red seaweed *Grateloupia imbricata*[J]. Marine Drugs, 2022, 20(7): 436.
- [74] CHEN QL, YU XL, LIU SX, LUO SY, CHEN XJ, XU NJ, SUN X. Identification, characteristics and function of phosphoglucomutase (PGM) in the agar biosynthesis and carbon flux in the agarophyte *Gracilariopsis lemaneiformis* (*Rhodophyta*)[J]. Marine Drugs, 2022, 20(7): 442.
- [75] ROSENBERG JN, KOBAYASHI N, BARNES A, NOEL EA, BETENBAUGH MJ, OYLER GA. Comparative analyses of three *Chlorella* species in response to light and sugar reveal distinctive lipid accumulation patterns in the Microalga C. sorokiniana[J]. PLoS One, 2014, 9(4): e92460.
- [76] WANG Y, CHIU SY, HO SH, LIU Z, HASUNUMA T, CHANG TT, CHANG KF, CHANG JS, REN NQ, KONDO A. Improving carbohydrate production of *Chlorella sorokiniana* NIES-2168 through semi-continuous process coupled with mixotrophic cultivation[J]. Biotechnology Journal, 2016, 11(8): 1072-1081.
- [77] CHENG DJ, LI DJ, YUAN YZ, ZHOU L, LI XY, WU T, WANG L, ZHAO QY, WEI W, SUN YH. Improving carbohydrate and starch accumulation in *Chlorella* sp. AE10 by a novel two-stage process with cell dilution[J]. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 2017, 10: 75.
- [78] KOO KM, JUNG S, LEE BS, KIM JB, JO YD, CHOI

HI, KANG SY, CHUNG GH, JEONG WJ, AHN JW. The mechanism of starch over-accumulation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* high-starch mutants identified by comparative transcriptome analysis[J]. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2017, 8: 858.

- [79] YANG YF, LI DW, CHEN TT, HAO TB, BALAMURUGAN S, YANG WD, LIU JS, LI HY. Overproduction of bioactive algal chrysolaminarin by the critical carbon flux regulator phosphoglucomutase[J]. Biotechnology Journal, 2019, 14(3): e1800220.
- [80] GOTTLIEB LD. Conservation and duplication of isozymes in plants[J]. Science, 1982, 216(4544): 373-380.
- [81] BEAUVOIT BP, COLOMBIÉ S, MONIER A, ANDRIEU MH, BIAIS B, BÉNARD C, CHÉNICLET C, DIEUAIDE-NOUBHANI M, NAZARET C, MAZAT JP, GIBON Y. Model-assisted analysis of sugar metabolism throughout tomato fruit development reveals enzyme and carrier properties in relation to vacuole expansion[J]. The Plant Cell, 2014, 26(8): 3224-3242.
- [82] LIU W, SUN LZ, ZHONG M, ZHOU QX, GONG ZQ, LI PJ, TAI PD, LI XJ. Cadmium-induced DNA damage and mutations in *Arabidopsis* plantlet shoots identified by DNA fingerprinting[J]. Chemosphere, 2012, 89(9): 1048-1055.
- [83] DUSSERT S, GUERIN C, ANDERSSON M, JOËT T, TRANBARGER TJ, PIZOT M, SARAH G, OMORE A, DURAND-GASSELIN T, MORCILLO F. Comparative transcriptome analysis of three oil palm fruit and seed tissues that differ in oil content and fatty acid composition[J]. Plant Physiology, 2013, 162(3): 1337-1358.
- [84] NEUMANN N, FRIZ S, FORCHHAMMER K. Glucose-1,6-bisphosphate, a key metabolic regulator, is synthesized by a distinct family of α-phosphohexomutases widely distributed in prokaryotes[J]. mBio, 2022, 13(4): e0146922.
- [85] DOELLO S, NEUMANN N, FORCHHAMMER K. Regulatory phosphorylation event of phosphoglucomutase 1 tunes its activity to regulate glycogen metabolism[J]. The FEBS Journal, 2022, 289(19): 6005-6020.
- [86] BEAMER LJ. Mutations in hereditary phosphoglucomutase 1 deficiency map to key regions of enzyme structure and function[J]. Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease, 2015, 38(2): 243-256.

- [87] TAKAMIYA S, FUKUI T. Phosphoglucomutase from potato tubers: chemical and catalytic properties[J]. The Journal of Biochemistry, 1978, 84(3): 569-574.
- [88] JACKSON SA, DUAN MH, ZHANG PY, IHUA MW, STENGEL DB, DUAN DL, DOBSON ADW. Isolation, identification, and biochemical characterization of a novel bifunctional phosphomannomutase/phosphoglucomutase from the metagenome of the brown alga *Laminaria digitata*[J]. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2022, 13: 1000634.
- [89] DEVI R, MUNJRAL N, GUPTA AK, KAUR N. Effect of exogenous lead on growth and carbon metabolism of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) seedlings[J]. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants, 2013, 19(1): 81-89.
- [90] 汤小彬,徐年军,孙雪,李亚鹤,张琳. 24-表油菜素 内酯对海洋红藻龙须菜琼胶合成及其相关基因表达 的影响[J].水产学报,2015,39(12):1788-1798. TANG XB, XU NJ, SUN X, LI YH, ZHANG L. Effects of 24-epibrassinolide on the agar synthesis and expression of genes involved in marine alga *Gracilariopsis lemaneiformis*[J]. Journal of Fisheries of China, 2015, 39(12): 1788-1798 (in Chinese).
- [91] MÜHLBACH H, SCHNARRENBERGER C. Properties and intracellular distribution of two phosphoglucomutases from spinach leaves[J]. Planta, 1978, 141(1): 65-70.
- [92] PERCIVAL MD, DOHERTY K, GRESSER MJ. Inhibition of phosphoglucomutase by vanadate[J]. Biochemistry, 1990, 29(11): 2764-2769.
- [93] LIU HY, WANG Z, REGNI C, ZOU XQ, TIPTON PA. Detailed kinetic studies of an aggregating inhibitor; inhibition of phosphomannomutase/phosphoglucomutase by disperse blue 56[J]. Biochemistry, 2004, 43(27): 8662-8669.
- [94] ÖZDEMIR F, BOR M, DEMIRAL T, TÜRKAN İ. Effects of 24-epibrassinolide on seed germination, seedling growth, lipid peroxidation, proline content and antioxidative system of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under salinity stress[J]. Plant Growth Regulation, 2004, 42(3): 203-211.
- [95] 陈峰,杨帅伶,刘宾. 微藻蛋白质及其在食品中的应 用研究进展[J]. 中国食品学报, 2022, 22(6): 21-32. CHEN F, YANG SL, LIU B. Microalgae protein and research progress on application in foods[J]. Journal of Chinese Institute of Food Science and Technology, 2022, 22(6): 21-32 (in Chinese).
- [96] XIE TH, XIA Y, ZENG Y, LI XR, ZHANG YK. Nitrate concentration-shift cultivation to enhance protein

content of heterotrophic microalga *Chlorella vulgaris*: over-compensation strategy[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2017, 233: 247-255.

- [97] 刘美彤,齐悦,何勇锦,王小斐. 微藻及其在未来食品中的应用[J]. 福建师范大学学报(自然科学版), 2023, 39(3): 53-62.
 LIU MT, QI Y, HE YJ, WANG XF. Microalgae and their incorporation into future foods[J]. Journal of Fujian Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 2023, 39(3): 53-62 (in Chinese).
- DEVENDRAN S. TSAI [98] NAGAPPAN S. PC. JAYARAMAN Η, ALAGARSAMY V, PUGAZHENDHI A. PONNUSAMY VK. Metabolomics integrated with transcriptomics and proteomics: evaluation of systems reaction to nitrogen microalgae[J]. deficiency stress in Process Biochemistry, 2020, 91: 1-14.
- [99] ITAKURA AK, CHAN KX, ATKINSON N, PALLESEN L, WANG LY, REEVES G, PATENA W, CASPARI O, ROTH R, GOODENOUGH U, McCORMICK AJ, GRIFFITHS H, JONIKAS MC. A Rubisco-binding protein is required for normal pyrenoid number and starch sheath morphology in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*[J]. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2019, 116(37): 18445-18454.
- [100] ZHENG MM, JI XW, HE YJ, LI ZF, WANG MZ, CHEN BL, HUANG J. Simultaneous fixation of carbon dioxide and purification of undiluted swine slurry by culturing *Chlorella vulgaris* MBFJNU-1[J]. Algal Research, 2020, 47: 101866.
- [101] RAN WY, WANG HT, LIU YH, QI M, XIANG Q, YAO CH, ZHANG YK, LAN XQ. Storage of starch and lipids in microalgae: biosynthesis and manipulation by nutrients[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2019, 291: 121894.
- [102] ZIENKIEWICZ A, ZIENKIEWICZ K, POLINER E, PULMAN JA, DU ZY, STEFANO G, TSAI CH, HORN P, FEUSSNER I, FARRE EM, CHILDS KL, BRANDIZZI F, BENNING C. The microalga Nannochloropsis during transition from quiescence to autotrophy in response to nitrogen availability[J]. Plant Physiology, 2020, 182(2): 819-839.
- [103] 张雪, 刘建华. 微藻油脂合成代谢途径及调控机制的研究进展[J]. 基因组学与应用生物学, 2019, 38(3): 1153-1161.

ZHANG X, LIU JH. Advances on lipid synthetic pathway and regulation mechanism of microalgae[J].

Genomics and Applied Biology, 2019, 38(3): 1153-1161 (in Chinese).

- [104] 王菲, 佘星星, 孙冰洁, 杜嘉琛, 张家玮, 任迪峰, 鲁军. 螺旋藻 γ-亚麻酸的提取优化及体外抗氧化活 性的研究[J]. 食品工业科技, 2014, 35(19): 68-72.
 WANG F, SHE XX, SUN BJ, DU JC, ZHANG JW, REN DF, LU J. Study on the optimized extraction and *in vitro* antioxidant activities of γ-linolenic acid from *Spirulina platensis*[J]. Science and Technology of Food Industry, 2014, 35(19): 68-72 (in Chinese).
- [105] FREITAS HR. Chlorella vulgaris as a source of essential fatty acids and micronutrients: a brief commentary[J]. The Open Plant Science Journal, 2017, 10(1): 92-99.
- [106] 孔维宝,李龙囡,张继,夏春谷.小球藻的营养保健 功能及其在食品工业中的应用[J].食品科学,2010, 31(9): 323-328.
 KONG WB, LI LN, ZHANG J, XIA CG. Healthcare functions and applications in food industry of *Chlorella*[J]. Food Science, 2010, 31(9): 323-328 (in Chinese).
- [107] ALVES SP, MENDONÇA SH, SILVA JL, BESSA RJB. Nannochloropsis oceanica, a novel natural source of rumen-protected eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) for ruminants[J]. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8(1): 1-10.
- [108] VOGLER BW, BRANNUM J, CHUNG JW, SEGER M, POSEWITZ MC. Characterization of the *Nannochloropsis gaditana* storage carbohydrate: a 1,3-beta glucan with limited 1,6-branching[J]. Algal Research, 2018, 36: 152-158.
- [109] LI J, HAN DX, WANG DM, NING K, JIA J, WEI L, JING XY, HUANG S, CHEN J, LI YT, HU Q, XU J. Choreography of transcriptomes and lipidomes of *Nannochloropsis* reveals the mechanisms of oil synthesis in microalgae[J]. The Plant Cell, 2014, 26(4): 1645-1665.
- [110] LIU J, SUN Z, MAO XM, GERKEN H, WANG XF, YANG WQ. Multiomics analysis reveals a distinct mechanism of oleaginousness in the emerging model alga *Chromochloris zofingiensis*[J]. The Plant Journal: for Cell and Molecular Biology, 2019, 98(6): 1060-1077.
- [111] ZHANG Y, YE Y, BAI F, LIU J. The oleaginous astaxanthin-producing alga *Chromochloris* zofingiensis: potential from production to an emerging model for studying lipid metabolism and carotenogenesis[J]. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 2021, 14(1): 119.
- [112] WORK VH, RADAKOVITS R, JINKERSON RE,

🖂 actamicro@im.ac.cn, 🕾 010-64807516

MEUSER JE, ELLIOTT LG, VINYARD DJ, LAURENS LML, DISMUKES GC, POSEWITZ MC. Increased lipid accumulation in the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* sta7-10 starchless isoamylase mutant and increased carbohydrate synthesis in complemented strains[J]. Eukaryotic Cell, 2010, 9(8): 1251-1261.

- [113] PAN YY, WANG ST, CHUANG LT, CHANG YW, CHEN CN N. Isolation of thermo-tolerant and high lipid content green microalgae: oil accumulation is predominantly controlled by photosystem efficiency during stress treatments in *Desmodesmus*[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2011, 102(22): 10510-10517.
- [114] DABOUSSI F, LEDUC S, MARÉCHAL A, DUBOIS G, GUYOT V, PEREZ-MICHAUT C, AMATO A, FALCIATORE A, JUILLERAT A, BEURDELEY M, VOYTAS DF, CAVAREC L, DUCHATEAU P. Genome engineering empowers the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* for biotechnology[J]. Nature Communications, 2014, 5: 3831.
- [115] WEI L, WANG QT, XIN Y, LU YD, XU J. Enhancing photosynthetic biomass productivity of industrial oleaginous microalgae by overexpression of RuBisCO activase[J]. Algal Research, 2017, 27: 366-375.
- [116] CHEN H, ZHENG YL, ZHAN J, HE CL, WANG Q. Comparative metabolic profiling of the lipid-producing green microalga *Chlorella* reveals that nitrogen and carbon metabolic pathways contribute to lipid metabolism[J]. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 2017, 10: 153.
- [117] JIA J, HAN DX, GERKEN HG, LI YT, SOMMERFELD M, HU Q, XU J. Molecular mechanisms for photosynthetic carbon partitioning into storage neutral lipids in *Nannochloropsis oceanica* under nitrogen-depletion conditions[J]. Algal Research, 2015, 7: 66-77.
- [118] WEI LK, HUANG XX. Long-duration effect of multi-factor stresses on the cellular biochemistry, oil-yielding performance and morphology of *Nannochloropsis oculata*[J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(3): e0174646.
- [119] CHOI WJ, CHAE AN, SONG KG, PARK J, LEE BC. Effect of trophic conditions on microalga growth, nutrient removal, algal organic matter, and energy storage products in *Scenedesmus* (Acutodesmus) obliquus KGE-17 cultivation[J]. Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, 2019, 42(7): 1225-1234.
- [120] BAUER A, MINCEVA M. Direct extraction of astaxanthin from the microalgae *Haematococcus*

pluvialis using liquid-liquid chromatography[J]. RSC Advances, 2019, 9(40): 22779-22789.

- [121] RAMMUNI MN, ARIYADASA TU, NIMARSHANA PHV, ATTALAGE RA. Comparative assessment on the extraction of carotenoids from microalgae sources: astaxanthin from *H. pluvialis* and β-carotene from *D. Salina*[J]. Food Chemistry, 2019, 277: 128-134.
- [122] 陈涛, 沼泽彻, 杨福梅, 李承康. 雨生红球藻中天然 虾青素的应用研究[J]. 农业工程技术, 2023, 43(15): 76-77.
 CHEN T, ZHAO ZC, YANG FM, LI CK. Study on the application of natural astaxanthin in *Haematococcus pluvialis*[J]. Agricultural Engineering Technology, 2023, 43(15): 76-77 (in Chinese).
- [123] 钟彩菜,陈钦常,许涵玥,曾子航,徐绍丝,车丹丹, 方静平,何勇锦.光质对等鞭金藻的生长和生物活 性产物合成的影响[J]. 天然产物研究与开发,2023, 35(10):1775-1781.
 ZHONG CR, CHEN QC, XU HY, ZENG ZH, XU SS, CHE DD, FANG JP, HE YJ. Effect of different light qualities on the growth and bioactive compounds biosynthesis of *Isochrysis* sp. ISO-FJ[J]. Natural Product Research and Development, 2023, 35(10): 1775-1781 (in Chinese).
- [124] CHEN QH, CHEN Y, HU Q, HAN DX. Metabolomic analysis reveals astaxanthin biosynthesis in heterotrophic microalga *Chromochloris zofingiensis*[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2023, 374: 128811.
- [125] POLLE JEW, KANAKAGIRI SD, MELIS A. tla1, a DNA insertional transformant of the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii with a truncated light-harvesting chlorophyll antenna size[J]. Planta, 2003, 217(1): 49-59.
- [126] SRIVASTAVA A, KALWANI M, CHAKDAR H, PABBI S, SHUKLA P. Biosynthesis and biotechnological interventions for commercial production of microalgae pigments: a review[J]. Bioresource Technology, 2022, 352: 127071.
- [127] KHAZI MI, DEMIREL Z, DALAY MC. Evaluation of

growth and phycobiliprotein composition of cyanobacteria isolates cultivated in different nitrogen sources[J]. Journal of Applied Phycology, 2018, 30(3): 1513-1523.

- [128] EL BAKY HHA, EL BAROTY GS, MOSTAFA EM. Optimization growth of *Spirulina (Arthrospira)* platensis in photobioreactor under varied nitrogen concentration for maximized biomass, carotenoids and lipid contents[J]. Recent Patents on Food, Nutrition & Agriculture, 2020, 11(1): 40-48.
- [129] COTTAS AG, CUNHA WR, RIBEIRO EJ, de SOUZA FERREIRA J. Influence of medium composition on the production of phycocyanin from *Anabaena variabilis*[J]. Industrial Biotechnology, 2020, 16(2): 45-49.
- [130] KOVAČ D, BABIĆ O, MILOVANOVIĆ I, MIŠAN A, SIMEUNOVIĆ J. The production of biomass and phycobiliprotein pigments in filamentous cyanobacteria: the impact of light and carbon sources[J]. Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology, 2017, 53(5): 539-545.
- [131] HU JJ, NAGARAJAN D, ZHANG QG, CHANG JS, LEE DJ. Heterotrophic cultivation of microalgae for pigment production: a review[J]. Biotechnology Advances, 2018, 36(1): 54-67.
- [132] SHI XM, JIANG Y, CHEN F. High-yield production of lutein by the green microalga *Chlorella protothecoides* in heterotrophic fed-batch culture[J]. Biotechnology Progress, 2002, 18(4): 723-727.
- [133] ERIKSEN NT. Research trends in the dominating microalgae pigments, β-carotene, astaxanthin, and phycocyanin used in feed, in foods, and in health applications[J]. Journal of Nutrition & Food Sciences, 2016, 6(3): 1-6.
- [134] MARQUEZ FJ, SASAKI K, KAKIZONO T, NISHIO N, NAGAI S. Growth characteristics of *Spirulina platensis* in mixotrophic and heterotrophic conditions[J]. Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering. 1993, 76(5): 408-410.